OMB No. 0990-0115

Electronic Request for Proposal

SECTION A – SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM

OFFERORS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR ROUTINELY CHECKING THE CMB WEBSITE http://www.niaid.nih.gov/contract/default.htm FOR ANY POSSIBLE SOLICITATION AMENDMENTS THAT MAY BE ISSUED. NO ADDITIONAL NOTIFICATION OF ANY AMENDMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED BY THIS OFFICE.

Purchase Authority: Public Law 92-218, as amended.
NOTE: The issuance of this solicitation does not commit the government to an award.

RFP Number:	**Just In Time:**	**Small Bus. Set-Aside:** [ ]Yes [X]No **8(a) Set-Aside:** [ ]Yes [X]No	**Level of Effort:** [ ] Yes [X] No
NIH-NIAID-DMID-03-37	[ ] Yes [X] No	**NAICS Code:** 541710 **Size Standard:** 500 Employees	**Total Effort:** [N/A]

TITLE:
Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory Support

Issue Date: July 25, 2002	**Due Date: November 18, 2002** **Time: 4:00 PM, EST**	**Technical Proposal Page Limits:** **[X] Yes (NTE 100 Pages)** ***(see "How to Prepare and Submit Electronic Proposals")***

ISSUED BY:

Barbara A. Shadrick
Senior Contracting Officer
Contract Management Branch, DEA
NIH, NIAID
6700-B Rockledge Drive
Room 2230, MSC 7612
Bethesda, MD 20892-7612

[X] ***We reserve the right to make awards without discussion.***

NO. OF AWARDS:

[ ] Only 1 Award
[X] Multiple Awards

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE:

7 years beginning on or about 06/30/2003

Offers will be valid for 120 days unless a different period is specified by the Offeror on the form entitled "Proposal Summary and Data Record, NIH-2043" (See SECTION J - Attachments)

The Official Point of Receipt for the purpose of determining timely delivery is the Contract Management Branch as stated above. The paper copy with original signatures is the official copy for recording timely receipt. If the paper copy of your proposal is not received by the Contracting Officer or Designee at the place and time specified, then it will be considered late and handled in accordance with HHSAR 352.215-70 entitled "Late Proposals and Revisions" located in this Solicitation. FACSIMILE SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.

POINT OF CONTACT **-- Nancy M. Hershey** ***--COLLECT CALLS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED--***

Telephone: Direct **301-496-0193** ***Main*** **301-496-0612**	***Fax*** **301-402-0972**	***E-Mail*** **nh11x@nih.gov**

Updated thru FAC 97-25 (05/02/01)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION / BACKGROUND / STATEMENT OF WORK / NOTES TO OFFERORS

Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory Support
RFP 03-37
Introduction / Background

Introduction

This RFP is entitled "**Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory Support**". It solicits proposals for reference laboratories to support the infection prevention program of the Respiratory Diseases Branch, Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (DMID). The Government has a need to expand reference laboratory support as part of the Biodefense Research Agenda. The focus of these laboratories will be the development of reagents and biological assays for bacterial and viral respiratory pathogens. The Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratories will be part of a coordinated, interactive, multi-disciplinary network to help support the development of vaccines and drugs against respiratory pathogens, including those that present concerns for biodefense and/or emerging infections.

Separate proposals are solicited for separate parts of this solicitation: a bacterial respiratory pathogens reference laboratory and a viral respiratory reference laboratory.

The two Parts are:

Part A: Bacterial Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory -- This reference laboratory will focus on improvement and/or development of biological assays important for studying bacterial respiratory (e.g., *Streptococcus pneumoniae*) and relevant neonatal infections (e.g., Group B streptococci) as well as related vaccines. The contract will provide a resource for assays and reagents, such as antigens, standard reference sera, and quality control samples and serve as a repository for their storage and distribution. Further, analytical methods will be developed, evaluated, and validated to accurately compare immunogenicity results within and between laboratories for different vaccines.

Part B: Viral Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory -- This reference laboratory will focus on improvement and/or development of biological assays important for studying viral respiratory (e.g., influenza) and relevant neonatal infections (e.g., respiratory syncytial virus) as well as related vaccines. The contract will provide a resource for assays and reagents, such as antigens, standard reference sera, and quality control samples and serve as a repository for their storage and distribution. Further, analytical methods will be developed, evaluated, and validated to accurately compare immunogenicity results within and between laboratories for different vaccines.

AN OFFEROR MAY RESPOND TO EITHER PART A, PART B, OR BOTH, BUT IS ADVISED THAT EACH PART MUST BE SUBMITTED AS A SEPARATE PROPOSAL. It is anticipated that a total of two contracts will be awarded to successful Offerors demonstrating capability of responding to the requirements of this solicitation. Negotiations will take place with Offerors whose proposals are determined to be in the competitive range. If Part A and Part B from a single Offeror are in the competitive range, the government reserves the right to negotiate a single contract and the Offeror's Statement of Work will comprise all of the relevant Parts of the award.

Awards will be determined based upon the best value to the government, with technical being paramount, and the need to create a balanced multidisciplinary research Program that meets DMID priorities and fills gaps in basic, clinical, and translational respiratory pathogens research. Award of the contracts from this solicitation does not commit the Government to approve ANY of the studies presented in the Offerors' proposals. The Project Officer will determine which studies are actually undertaken.

Background

NIAID's Research Plan for Biodefense and Emerging Pathogens has identified highly pathogenic influenza and drug resistant pathogens as high priorities. Thus, the renewal of the current Pneumococcal Reference Laboratory contract has been expanded in response to this enhanced national emphasis on developing tools for biodefense and to fill an important gap in NIAID's respiratory diseases research program.

The Respiratory Diseases Branch has a history of funding a bacterial reference laboratory to support programmatic needs for development of assays as new and improved vaccine candidates. The initial contract focused on *Haemophilus influenzae* and was replaced by the current Pneumococcal Reference Laboratory (PI-Dr. Moon Nahm, University of Rochester, N01-AI-85334), which will terminate in FY '03. This is a single contract with an emphasis on pneumococcal assay development and standardization, which was essential to the evaluation of the newly licensed pneumococcal conjugate vaccines.

In response to the enhanced national emphasis on developing tools for biodefense, this solicitation consists of an expansion of the current Pneumococcal Reference Laboratory and the addition of a viral reference laboratory. Concerns for biodefense and emerging diseases are based on the fact that acute respiratory infections, including influenza and pneumonia, are the leading cause of death worldwide and increasing numbers of these organisms can be genetically engineered for increased resistance or are becoming multi-drug resistant. This has resulted in enhanced product development efforts that include vaccines and therapeutics and involve the testing of these products. Analytical methods will be developed and validated by both the bacterial and viral reference laboratories to accurately compare the immunogenicity results within and between independent laboratories. This will include improvement of current assays and development of new assay protocols. The reference laboratories will provide a resource for developing and distributing reagents such as antigens, characterized pathogens, monoclonal antibodies, standard reference sera and quality control samples. A component of this laboratory will perform assays on specimens from clinical trials, challenge studies and/or other samples as needed. The contract will sponsor training and meetings to discuss various issues in respiratory pathogen research, including, but not limited to: current knowledge of assays, correlates of protection and surrogate markers to serve as a framework for proposals to advance vaccine candidates to licensure.

The Bacterial and Viral Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratories will provide a resource facility with a major effort on reagent and assay development, including distribution of procedures and reagents, for the measurement of the human immune response to targeted respiratory pathogens. The Bacterial Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory will focus primarily on, but not necessarily be limited to: *Streptococcus pneumoniae, Bordetella pertussis, Haemophilus influenzae*, *Neisseria meningitidis*, group A streptococcus (GAS), group B streptococcus (GBS), *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Burkholderia cepacia.* The Viral Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory will focus on, but not necessarily be limited to: influenza, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), parainfluenza, adenoviruses, rhinoviruses, and coronaviruses.

Once awarded, the Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratories will become part of a multi-disciplinary Respiratory Pathogens Research Network (RPRN). This network will include contracts for Respiratory Pathogens Research Units (RPRU) that support basic and clinical research involving bacterial and viral respiratory pathogens. The Respiratory Pathogens Research Units will be awarded through a separate solicitation entitled “Basic and Clinical Approaches to Controlling Human Respiratory Pathogens” -- RFP-NIH-NIAID-DMID-03-05 that will be issued at the same time as this RFP. Interactions between the Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratories and the Respiratory Pathogen Research Units will occur through an annual meeting which will include external consultants. These consultants will be chosen by DMID to assist the Project Officer in defining the directions of research, identify new opportunities, help monitor progress and make recommendations related to contract activities.

This RFP provides for the re-competition of work ongoing with the current Contractor, University of Rochester, under contract N01-AI-85334.

Statement of Work
Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory Support
RFP DMID-03-37

STATEMENT OF WORK -- PART A: Bacterial Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory

Independently, and not as an agent of the Government, the Contractor shall furnish all the necessary services, qualified professional and technical personnel, materials, populations, equipment, and facilities not otherwise provided by the Government as needed to perform the work set forth below and as directed by the Project Officer:

SEE GENERAL NOTES TO OFFEROR: 1-3

A. Specifically, the Contractor shall perform the following tasks, as they relate to key bacterial respiratory pathogens including, but not necessarily limited to: *Streptococcus pneumoniae, Bordetella pertussis, Haemophilus influenzae*, *Neisseria meningitidis*, group A streptococcus (GAS), group B streptococcus (GBS), *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Burkholderia cepacia.*

1. **Reference Assays:**

   a. Develop and validate immunologic assays for evaluating the immune response to specific respiratory pathogens. Sensitivity and specificity of each assay must be documented. It may be necessary to use adsorption procedures to improve the specificity of some assays and thus eliminate measurement of non-protective antibodies. Examples include, but are not limited to: measurement of adaptive (humoral and cell mediated) and innate immune responses to vaccines, adjuvants, other biologics, or, infection (natural and/or experimental). The assays must be able to handle large numbers of samples in an efficient manner (for example, use of limited amounts of blood and/or nasopharyngeal secretions).

   b. Improve existing assays by using novel, innovative and/or state-of- the-art technologies for evaluating the host immune response to respiratory pathogens. Examples include, but are not necessary limited to: enhancing precision and/or specificity; defining quality control samples and standard reference sera; investigating other antibody characteristics such as function, avidity, subclasses and isotypes.

   c. Perform inter-laboratory comparisons of assays (for example, but not necessarily limited to: antibody assays) and analyze the results.

   d. Foster close interactions with other laboratories, including government, industry and academic, attempting to standardize assays for various respiratory organisms. These interactions will involve information exchange on standardization procedures, reagent exchange, and testing of blinded sera samples.

   e. Organize meetings to discuss assay conditions, current state-of-the-art approaches for assay development, and the establishment of immune correlates of protection.

   f. Develop and/or provide standard operating procedures on the use and performance of the various standardized assays and list information on a Web page created and updated by the Contractor.

   SEE SPECIFIC NOTES TO OFFEROR: A and B

2. **Reference Reagents:**

   a. Develop or obtain, maintain, and distribute reference reagents for evaluation of the immune response to the bacterial pathogens cited above that are not readily available from commercial sources to DMID collaborators, Contractors, and other interested investigators as directed by the Project Officer, including, but not necessarily to be limited to the following:

Reference reagents:

i) bacterial antigens;
ii) standard reference sera;
iii) serum quality control panels of positive, negative, and equivocal samples;
iv) specific reagents:

a) Hib reagents
- radiolabeled PRP (2000 tests per year) for the FARR assay;
- suitably derivatized PRP (100 vials per year obtained from Wyeth-Lederle) for use in ELISA assay;
- purified PRP (10-20 mg per year) for in vitro diagnostics; and,
- Hib antigen (approximately 500 Hib tests per year).

b) modified capsular polysaccharides for absorption studies specific for the anti-capsular antibodies.

b. Establish a reference collection of characterized pathogens and/or cell lines (i.e., master seed lots) for use in assay development when appropriate.

c. Develop monoclonal antibodies to specific surface proteins and capsular polysaccharides, as needed and defined by NIAID and the Project Officer. Provide a panel of hybridoma supernatants to test the specificity of the opsonization assays for standardization purposes. Produce at least 1 liter of supernatant per year at an opsonization titer of 1:10 to provide sufficient quantities for up to 100 different laboratories.

d. Store, implement periodic quality control on, and distribute bacterial vaccines and bacterial challenge material, as designated by the Project Officer.

SEE SPECIFIC NOTE TO OFFEROR: C

3. **Laboratory Support (as directed by the Project Officer):**

a. Perform laboratory assays and analyses (i.e., 10,000-15,000 per year) of clinical specimens (including but not necessarily limited to: serum and nasopharyngeal samples) obtained from Phase I/II (safety and immunogenicity) clinical trials evaluating investigational and/or licensed vaccines in various populations for the pathogens identified above.

b. Additional assays may be requested by the Project Officer depending on the organism(s) involved and the requirement of the study. For example, specimens from individuals with natural disease, specimens from experimental challenge studies or support for clinical trials, based on need and availability of funds.

4. **Support and Training:**

a. Train and educate third party laboratories (for example, visiting research scientists) to conduct specific assays to a standard where they can achieve appropriate and consistent quality of results.

b. Develop written training materials (i.e., manuals and Standard Operating Procedures) that can be made available to third party laboratories either by mail, on a relevant website, or even published, if necessary.

SEE SPECIFIC NOTE TO OFFEROR: D

5. **Organization and Administration:**

a. Develop and implement a mechanism for the management of data generated during reagent and assay development and optimization studies, as well as data generated in studies related to the reference laboratory function (i.e., antibody quantitation and control samples) of this contract. This includes the detailed planning, conduct, and reporting of the proposed assays and support studies.

b. Develop and implement a plan for inventory/sample control, records management, reagent distribution and recording of receipts and shipments. These activities must comply with all guidelines concerning Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories and the transportation of infectious and/or non-infectious agents.

Distribution plans shall include:

i) Negotiating a procedure with the Project Officer for distribution of the reagent;
ii) Implementing a mechanism to invoice investigators for reagent distribution fees and shipping charges; and,
iii) Notifying, via FAX or other rapid communication system, all investigators receiving frozen or refrigerated shipments, informing them of the carrier, waybill number and estimated time of arrival.

c. Establish and manage a laboratory facility that is in compliance with good laboratory and good clinical practices to support the various studies.

d. The Contractor's Principal Investigator shall supervise, coordinate, and direct all activities required in this Statement of Work for Part A.

e. Organize and participate in at least one meeting a year to discuss results, future plans, regulatory matters, and other issues with members of the Respiratory Pathogens Research Network (RPRN), external consultants as selected by NIAID, and other relevant investigators, as needed. Additional meetings may be scheduled as required.

f. **Transition – Start-up (beginning with the effective date of this contract):** Develop and implement a plan for the transition of the current Pneumococcal Reference Laboratory activities and resources should the contract not be awarded to the incumbent. This includes the transfer of all data, specimens and reference material.

g. **Transition – Completion:** Develop and implement a plan for the transition of all Bacterial Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory activities and resources at the completion of this contract including the transfer of all data, specimens and reference material. The Contractor shall submit a copy of this transition plan to the Project Officer for review and approval six (6) months prior to the completion date of the contract.

SEE SPECIFIC NOTES TO OFFEROR: F, G, H and I

[END OF STATEMENT OF WORK – PART A]

STATEMENT OF WORK -- PART B: Viral Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory

Independently, and not as an agent of the Government, the Contractor shall furnish all the necessary services, qualified professional and technical personnel, materials, populations, equipment, and facilities not otherwise provided by the Government as needed to perform the work set forth below and as directed by the Project Officer:

SEE GENERAL NOTES TO OFFEROR: 1-3

A. Specifically, the Contractor shall perform the following tasks as they relate to key viral respiratory pathogens including, but not necessarily limited to: influenza viruses, respiratory syncytial viruses, parainfluenza viruses, rhinoviruses, adenoviruses, and coronaviruses.

1. **Reference Assays**:

   a. Develop and validate immunologic assays for evaluating the immune response to specific respiratory pathogens. Sensitivity and specificity of each assay must be documented. Examples include, but are not limited to: measurement of adaptive (humoral and cell mediated) and innate immune responses to vaccines, adjuvants, other biologics, or infection (natural and/or experimental). The assays must be able to handle large numbers of samples in an efficient manner (for example, use of limited amounts of blood and/or nasopharyngeal secretions).

   b. Improve existing assays by using novel, innovative and/or state-of-the-art technologies for evaluating the host immune response to respiratory pathogens. Examples include, but are not necessary limited to: enhancing precision and/or specificity; defining quality control samples and standard reference sera; investigating other antibody characteristics such as function, avidity, subclasses and isotypes.

   c. Perform inter-laboratory comparisons of assays (for example, but not necessarily limited to, antibody assays) and analyze the results.

   d. Foster close interactions with other laboratories, including government, industry and academic, attempting to standardize assays for various respiratory organisms. These interactions will involve information exchange on standardization procedures, reagent exchange, and testing of blinded sera samples.

   e. Organize meetings to discuss assay conditions, current state-of-the-art approaches for assay development, and the establishment of immune correlates of protection.

   f. Develop and/or provide Standard Operating Procedures on the use and performance of the various assays and list information on a Web page created and updated by the Contractor.

   SEE SPECIFIC NOTE TO OFFEROR: A and B

2. **Reference Reagents:**

   a. Develop or obtain, maintain and distribute reference reagents for evaluation of the immune response to the viral pathogens cited above that are not readily available from commercial sources to DMID collaborators, Contractors, other interested investigators, or another DMID repository, as directed by the Project Officer, including, but not necessarily limited to the following:

      Reference reagents:
      i) viral antigens
      ii) standard reference sera
      iii) serum quality controls panels of positive, negative, and equivocal samples

   b. Establish a reference collection of viral isolates for use in assay development when appropriate.

c. Procure and/or develop qualified cell lines (i.e., master seed banks, working seed banks, and production seed banks) that meet acceptable regulatory standards for the production of vaccines, human viral challenge materials, or other biologicals. Once cell lines are characterized, aliquots will be sent to a DMID repository containing GMP reagents, as directed by the Project Officer.

d. Develop monoclonal and/or polyclonal antibodies to specific viral surface proteins. The monoclonal antibodies should display various human IgG subclasses that could be useful as a standard for the ELISA. Consequently, cell lines producing human or humanized antibodies are desired.

e. Store, implement periodic quality control on, and distribute viral vaccines and viral challenge material, as designated by the Project Officer.

SEE SPECIFIC NOTE TO OFFEROR: C

3. **Laboratory Support (as directed by the Project Officer):**

a. Perform laboratory assays and analyses (i.e., 400 per year) of clinical specimens (including but not necessarily limited to: serum and nasopharyngeal samples) obtained from Phase I/II (safety and immunogenicity) clinical trials evaluating investigational and/or licensed vaccines in various populations for the pathogens identified above.

b. Additional assays may be requested by the Project Officer depending on the organism(s) involved and the requirement of the study. For example, specimens from individuals with natural disease, specimens from experimental challenge studies or support for clinical trials, based on need and availability of funds.

SEE SPECIFIC NOTE TO OFFEROR: E

4. **Support and Training**

a. Train and educate third party laboratories (for example, visiting research scientists) to conduct specific assays to a standard where they can achieve appropriate and consistent quality of results.

b. Develop written training materials (i.e., manuals and Standard Operating Procedures) that can be made available to third party laboratories.

5. **Organization and Administration:**

a. Develop and implement a mechanism for the management of data generated during assay development and optimization studies, as well as data generated in studies related to the reference laboratory function (i.e., antibody quantitation and control samples) of this contract. This includes the detailed planning, conduct, and reporting of the proposed assays and support studies.

b. Develop and implement a plan for inventory/sample control, records management, reagent distribution and recording of receipts and shipments. These activities must comply with all guidelines concerning Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories and the transportation of infectious and/or non infectious agents. Distribution plans shall include:

i) Negotiating a Material Transfer Agreement (MTA) with the Project Officer prior to distribution of the reagent, providing a copy of the MTA to DMID, and maintaining the MTA on file.
ii) Implementing a mechanism to invoice investigators for reagent distribution fees and shipping charges.
iii) Notifying, via FAX or other rapid communication system, all investigators receiving frozen or refrigerated shipments, informing them of the carrier, waybill number and estimated time of arrival.

c. Establish and manage a laboratory facility that is in compliance with good laboratory and good clinical practices to support the various studies.

d. The Contractor's Principal Investigator shall supervise, coordinate, and direct all activities required in this Statement of Work for Part B.

e. Organize and participate in at least one meeting a year to discuss results, future plans, regulatory matters, and other issues with members of the Respiratory Pathogens Research Network (RPRN), external consultants as selected by NIAID, and other relevant investigators, as needed. Additional meetings may be scheduled as required.

f. **Transition – Start-up (beginning with the effective date of this contract):** Develop and implement a plan for the transition of the current Pneumococcal Reference Laboratory activities and resources should the contract not be awarded to the incumbent. This includes the transfer of all data, specimens and reference material.

g. **Transition – Completion:** Develop and implement a plan for the transition of all Bacterial Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory activities and resources at the completion of this contract including the transfer of all data, specimens and reference material. The Contractor shall submit a copy of this transition plan to the Project Officer for review and approval six (6) months prior to the completion date of the contract.

SEE SPECIFIC NOTES TO OFFEROR: F, G, H and I

[END OF STATEMENT OF WORK – PART B]

Notes To Offerors

Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory Support
DMID-03-37

GENERAL NOTES TO OFFERORS FOR STATEMENT OF WORK (Parts A and B)

1. A well trained, committed and balanced team of staff to conduct the work is required. The PI should have an M.D. and/or Ph.D. degree, or equivalent, and should be experienced in the development, maintenance and distribution of reference reagents and immunological assays as well as the handling, testing and storage of clinical specimens. The team of professional personnel should have composite expertise in infectious diseases, microbiology (bacteriology or virology as related to Parts A and B, respectively), molecular biology, immunology, assay development, reagent production, and biostatistics. Collaborations, including the use of subcontractors must be in place within 30 days after the effective date of the contract. Technical personnel should be trained and experienced in performing assay and laboratory procedures. The support staff should possess the requisite experience to perform their clerical and administrative duties. A maximum 3 page Biosketch or Curricula Vitae of each proposed professional personnel must be included in the proposal.

2. The use of subcontracts is recognized but is not required. The Government recognizes that one institution may not be able to perform all aspects of the Statement of Work and encourages the primary offerors to coordinate through the use of subcontracts if necessary. Letters of willingness to participate/collaborate MUST accompany the proposal. Potential subcontractors may submit such letters to more than one offeror. Although other government agencies are excluded from receiving funds either directly or indirectly from this contract activity, they are not excluded from collaborating in any project at their own expense. Subcontractors may be added or deleted during the contract award period with the prior approval of the Project Officer and Contracting Officer.

3. Award of the contract does not commit the Government to approve any of the work presented in the protocol. The Project Officer will ultimately determine which work will actually be undertaken during contract performance.

SPECIFIC NOTES TO OFFERORS FOR STATEMENT OF WORK (Parts A and B, as noted)

A. Submit documentation of experience with the following assays: antibody avidity, antibody isotype and subclass analyses, antibody binding (e.g., ELISA), antibody function (e.g., opsonophagocytosis for **Part A**; microneutralization for **Part B**); and measurement of a cell mediated immune response, and measurement of an innate immune response. For each assay, provide a Standard Operating Procedure that includes a description of the sensitivity and specificity of the methodology.

Part A: for purposes of evaluation,

1) Submit information for assays described above that would be used to measure an immune response to a group B streptococcal (GBS) polysaccharide antigen.
2) Submit information for assays described above that would be used to measure an immune response to a pneumococcal protein antigen.
3) Describe an absorption procedure that would be used to improve the specificity of a pneumococcal ELISA assay.

Part B: for purposes of evaluation,

1) Submit information for assays that would be used to measure an immune response to F and G glycoproteins of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV).
2) Submit information for a hemagglutination-inhibition assay and a microneutralization assay that would be used to measure an immune response to influenza.

B. Submit an approach for the validation of an immunological assay, of your choice, performed at a single site (intra-laboratory analysis) and at multiple sites (inter-laboratory analysis).

C. Submit documentation of experience in reagent development or provide a concept sheet describing an approach to reagent development. Examples must include antigens for ELISA assays, a standard reference serum, quality control samples, and monoclonal antibodies.

Part A: for purposes of evaluation:

1) Submit information for the preparation of an antigen for an ELISA assay, a standard reference serum, and quality control reagents that would be used in studies to measure an immune response to a GBS polysaccharide antigen.
2) Submit information for the preparation of a pneumococcal surface antigen for an ELISA assay.
3) Submit information describing the production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies to GBS.
4) For your information, some of the Hib reagents described in the Statement of Work will be provided but it is expected that the Offeror prepare the remaining Hib reagents according to protocols that will be provided.

Part B: for purposes of evaluation:

1) Submit information for the preparation of antigens for ELISA assays, a standard reference serum, and quality control reagents that would be used in studies to measure an immune response to F and G glycoproteins of respiratory syncytial virus.
2) Submit information describing the production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies to RSV.

D. **Part A:** For purposes of evaluation, submit a budget for performing 12,000 pneumococcal ELISA assays using serum samples from a study designed to measure the immune response to a pneumococcal conjugate vaccine.

E. **Part B:** For purposes of evalution, submit a budget for performing 400 hemagglutination-inhibition assays using serum samples from a study designed to measure the immune response to an influenza vaccine.

F. A detailed organizational chart must be provided that shows the administrative structure, reporting structure, supervisory role(s), subcontractors (if needed), and the interactions between these groups and the individual projects. The organizational and administrative plan should also clearly identify the role of any subcontractors.

G. Submit a plan for the management of data generated during reagent and assay development and optimization studies as well as data generated in studies related to the reference laboratory function of this contract.

H. Submit a plan for inventory, sample control, records management, reagent distribution and recording of receipts and shipments.

I. An annual meeting will be arranged by Offeror to review progress, define directions of research, identify new opportunities, and make recommendations related to contract activities. These efforts should facilitate collaborative interactions between the respiratory pathogens reference laboratories (Parts A and B of this solicitation) and the respiratory pathogens research units (Parts A and B, RFP-NIH-NIAID-DMID 03-05). For planning purposes, include in the Business Proposal, the travel costs to attend one meeting per year to be held in Bethesda, MD. Travel costs should include travel of all key contract personnel, all subcontractors, and six (6) external consultants.

Reporting Requirements
Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory Support
RFP DMID-03-37

I. Deliverables

The Project Officer reserves the right to direct the transfer of reagents and equipment from the predecessor contract to this award, and also transfer reagents generated under this contract and equipment purchased under this contract at its conclusion to a to-be-determined location.

II. Reporting Requirements

The Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer and to the Project Officer technical progress reports covering the work accomplished during each reporting period. These reports are subject to technical inspection and requests for clarification by the Project Officer. These shall be brief and factual and prepared in accordance with the following format:

A. Technical Reports

In addition to those reports required by the Statement of Work and other terms of this contract, the Contractor shall prepare and submit the following reports in the manner stated below:

(1) Semi-annual Technical Progress Reports - by the fifteenth working day of the month following the end of each six month period, the Contractor shall submit three (3) copies of a Semi-annual Technical Progress Report, comprising two (2) copies to the Project Officer and one (1) copy to the Contracting Officer. This report shall include the following specific information:

a. A cover page that lists the contract number and title, the period of performance being reported, the Contractor's names and address, the author(s), and the date of submission;

b. SECTION I - An introduction covering the purpose and scope of the contract effort;

c. SECTION II - A description of overall progress plus a separate description for each task or other logical segment of work on which effort was expended during the report period. The description shall include pertinent data and/or graphs in sufficient detail to explain any significant results achieved and preliminary conclusions resulting from analysis and scientific evaluation of data accumulated to date under the project;

d. SECTION III - Substantive performance; a description of current technical or substantive performance and any problems encountered and/or which may exist along with proposed corrective action. Each clinical study should be reported separately according to the number assigned by the Project Officer. An explanation of any difference between planned progress and actual progress, why the differences have occurred, and what corrective steps should be provided.

e. An anticipated work plan for the following six months.

f. Preprints, reprints, and abstracts shall be submitted along with the report.

Semi-annual Technical Progress Reports are not due for periods in which an Annual or Final Report is due.

(2) Annual Reports - The Contractor shall submit three (3) copies of an Annual Technical Progress Report, as above, comprising two (2) copies to the Project Officer and one (1) copy to the Contracting Officer. This report is due on the 30th of the month following each anniversary date of the contract. The report shall detail, document, and summarize the results of the entire contract work for the period covered. These reports shall be in sufficient detail to explain comprehensively the results achieved. A summary of work proposed for the next reporting period should also be included in the report. A one page summary of each ongoing and completed protocol shall be submitted at this time. An Annual report will not be required for the period when the Final Report is due. Preprints and reprints of papers and abstracts not submitted in the Semi-annual report shall be submitted.

(3) Final Report – On or before the completion date of the contract, the Contractor shall submit three (3) copies of a comprehensive Final Report, two (2) copies to the Project Officer and one (1) copy to the Contracting Officer. This Final Report shall detail, document and summarize the results of the work for the entire period of the contract and shall be in sufficient detail to explain comprehensively the results achieved. Preprints and reprints not included previously shall be submitted.

(4) Summary of Salient Results – With the Final Report, the Contractor shall submit a summary (not to exceed 200 words) of salient results achieved during the performance of the contract.

(5) Other Reports – The Contractor shall submit two (2) copies of : a) a one page summary of each ongoing and completed protocol one year and thirty days after an individual IND goes into effect and b) yearly IRB approvals and supporting documents.

B. If the Contractor becomes unable to deliver the reports or other deliverables specified here within the period of performance because of unforeseen difficulties, notwithstanding the exercise of good faith and diligent efforts in performance of the work, the Contractor shall give the Contracting Officer immediate written notice of anticipated delays with reasons.

C. Copies of the technical reports shall be submitted as follows:

Type of Report	No. of Copies	Due Date
Semi-annual Technical Report	2 – Project Officer 1 – Contracting Officer	Due the 15th of the month following each 6-month period. Not due when Annual and Final Reports are due.
Annual Technical Report	2 – Project Officer 1 – Contracting Officer	Due the 30th of the month following each anniversary date of the contract. Not due when Final Report is due.
Final Report	2 – Project Officer 1 – Contracting Officer	Due on/before the completion date of the contract.
Summary of Salient Results	2 – Project Officer 1 – Contracting Officer	Due with the Final Report.

Addressees:

Project Officer
Respiratory Disease Branch, DMID, NIAID, NIH
6700B Rockledge Drive, Room ____, MSC 7630
Bethesda, MD 20892-7630

Contracting Officer
Contract Management Branch, DEA, NIAID, NIH
6700B Rockledge Drive, Room 2230, MSC 7612
Bethesda, MD 20892-7612

PART I - THE SCHEDULE

SECTIONS B - H -- UNIFORM CONTRACT FORMAT - GENERAL

A Sample Uniform Contract Format may be found at the following website:

http://www4.od.nih.gov/ocm/contracts/rfps/sampkt.htm

[Disregard SECTION I and J of this sample. Those SECTIONS have been incorporated as part of this RFP.]

PART II – CONTRACT CLAUSES

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

THE FOLLOWING PAGES CONTAIN A LISTING(S) OF GENERAL CLAUSES WHICH WILL BE APPLICABLE TO MOST CONTRACTS RESULTING FROM THIS RFP. HOWEVER, THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR(S) WILL DETERMINE THE SPECIFIC GENERAL CLAUSES LISTING TO BE CONTAINED IN THE CONTRACT(S) AWARDED FROM THIS RFP.

BECAUSE THIS IS A STREAMLINED RFP, ARTICLES I.2. AND I.3., WHICH IDENTIFY ANY AUTHORIZED ADDITIONS, SUBSTITUTIONS AND/OR MODIFICATIONS TO THE GENERAL CLAUSES, WILL BE BASED ON THE TYPE OF CONTRACT/CONTRACTOR AND WILL BE DETERMINED DURING NEGOTIATIONS.

ARTICLE I.1. GENERAL CLAUSES FOR A NEGOTIATED COST-REIMBURSEMENT CONTRACT WITH EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS - FAR 52.252-2, CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (FEBRUARY 1998)

This contract incorporates the following clauses by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. Also, the full text of a clause may be accessed electronically at this address: http://www.arnet.gov/far/.

a. FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION (FAR) (48 CHAPTER 1) CLAUSES

FAR Clause No.	Date	Title
52.202-1	Dec 2001	Definitions
52.203-3	Apr 1984	Gratuities (Over $100,000)
52.203-5	Apr 1984	Covenant Against Contingent Fees (Over $100,000)
52.203-6	Jul 1995	Covenant Against Contingent Fees (Over $100,000)
52.203-7	Jul 1995	Anti-Kickback Procedures (Over $100,000)
52.203-8	Jan 1997	Cancellation, Rescission, and Recovery of Funds for Illegal or Improper Activity (Over $100,000)
52.203-10	Jan 1997	Price or Fee Adjustment for Illegal or Improper Activity (Over $100,000)
52.203-12	Jun 1997	Limitation on Payments to Influence Certain Federal Transactions (Over $100,000)
52.204-4	Aug 2000	Printing/Copying Double-Sided on Recycled Paper (Over $100,000)
52.209-6	Jul 1995	Protecting the Governments Interests When Subcontracting With Contractors Debarred, Suspended, or Proposed for Debarment (Over $25,000)
52.215-2	Jun 1999	Audit and Records - Negotiation (Over $100,000), Alternate II (Apr 1998)
52.215-8	Oct 1997	Order of Precedence – Uniform Contract Format
52.215-10	Oct 1997	Price Reduction for Defective Cost or Pricing Data
52.215-12	Oct 1997	Subcontractor Cost or Pricing Data (Over $500,000)
52.215-14	Oct 1997	Integrity of Unit Prices (Over $100,000)
52.215-15	Dec 1998	Pension Adjustments and Asset Reversions
52.215-18	Oct 1997	Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Post-Retirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions
52.215-19	Oct 1997	Notification of Ownership Changes
52.215-21	Oct 1997	Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data - Modifications
52.216-7	Feb 2002	Allowable Cost and Payment (Paragraph (a) is modified to delete the words Subpart 31.2 and to add the words Subpart 31.3)
52.216-11	Apr 1984	Cost Contract - No Fee

52.219-8	Oct 2000	Utilization of Small Business Concerns (Over $100,000)
52.219-9	Jan 2002	Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Over $500,000)
52.219-16	Jan 1999	Liquidated Damages - Subcontracting Plan (Over $500,000)
52.222-2	Jul 1990	Payment for Overtime Premium (Over $100,000) (NOTE: The dollar amount in paragraph (a) of this clause is $0 unless otherwise specified in the contract.)
52.222-3	Aug 1996	Convict Labor
52.222-26	Apr 2002	Equal Opportunity
52.222-35	Dec 2001	Equal Opportunity for Special Disabled Veterans, Veterans of the Vietnam Era, and Other Eligible Veterans
52.222-36	Jun 1998	Affirmative Action for Workers with Disabilities
52.222-37	Dec 2001	Employment Reports on Special Disabled Veterans, Veterans of the Vietnam Era, and Other Eligible Veterans
52.223-6	May 2001	Drug-Free Workplace
52.223-14	Oct 2000	Toxic Chemical Release Reporting
52.225-1	May 2002	Buy American Act - Supplies
52.225-13	Jul 2000	Restrictions on Certain Foreign Purchases
52.227-1	Jul 1995	Authorization and Consent, Alternate I (Apr 1984)
52.227-2	Aug 1996	Notice and Assistance Regarding Patent and Copyright Infringement (Over $100,000)
52.227-11	Jun 1997	Patent Rights - Retention by the Contractor (Short Form) (NOTE: In accordance with FAR 27.303 (a) (2), paragraph (f) is modified to include the requirements in FAR 27.303 (a) (2) (i) through (iv). The frequency of reporting in (i) is annual.
52.227-14	Jun 1987	Rights in Data - General, Alternate IV (Jun 1987)
52-232-9	Apr 1984	Limitation on Withholding of Payments
52.232-20	Apr 1984	Limitation of Cost
52.232-23	Jan 1986	Assignment of Claims
52.232-25	Feb 2002	Prompt Payment
52.232-34	May 1999	Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer--Other Than Central Contractor Registration
52.233-1	Dec 1998	Disputes
52.233-3	Aug 1996	Protest After Award, Alternate I (Jun 1985)
52.242-1	Apr 1984	Notice of Intent to Disallow Costs
52.242-4	Jan 1997	Certification of Final Indirect Costs
52.242-13	Jul 1995	Bankruptcy (Over $100,000)

52.243-2	Aug 1987	Changes - Cost Reimbursement, Alternate V (Apr 1984)
52.244-2	Aug 1998	Subcontracts *If written consent to subcontract is required, the identified subcontracts are listed in Article B, Advance Understandings.
52.244-2	Aug 1998	Subcontracts, Alternate II (Aug 1998) *If written consent to subcontract is required, the identified subcontracts are listed in ARTICLE B., Advance Understandings.
52.244-5	Dec 1996	Competition in Subcontracting (Over $100,000)
52.245-5	Jan 1986	Government Property (Cost-Reimbursement, Time and Material, or Labor-Hour Contract), Alternate I (Jul 1985)
52.246-23	Feb 1997	Limitation of Liability (Over $100,000)
52.249-5	Sep 1996	Termination for Convenience of the Government (Educational and Other Nonprofit Institutions)
52.253-1	Jan 1991	Computer Generated Forms

b. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ACQUISITION REGULATION (HHSAR) (48 CFR CHAPTER 3) CLAUSES

HHSAR Clause No.	Date	Title
352.202-1	Jan 2001	Definitions - with Alternate paragraph (h) (Jan 2001)
352.228-7	Dec 1991	Insurance - Liability to Third Persons
352.232-9	Apr 1984	Withholding of Contract Payments
352.233-70	Apr 1984	Litigation and Claims
352.242-71	Apr 1984	Final Decisions on Audit Findings
352.249-14	Apr 1984	Excusable Delays
352.270-5	Apr 1984	Key Personnel
352.270-6	Jul 1991	Publication and Publicity
352.270-7	Jan 2001	Paperwork Reduction Act

[END OF GENERAL CLAUSES FOR A NEGOTIATED COST-REIMBURSEMENT CONTRACT WITH EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS – Rev. 05/2002]

PART III - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

The following Attachments are provided in full text with this Solicitation:

PACKAGING AND DELIVERY OF PROPOSALS (Attached to this listing)

HOW TO PREPARE AN ELECTRONIC PROPOSAL: (Attached to this listing)

PROPOSAL INTENT RESPONSE SHEET SUBMIT ON/BEFORE: November 4, 2002. (Attached to this listing)

[NOTE: Your attention is directed to the "Proposal Intent Response Sheet". If you intend to submit a proposal, you must complete this form and return it to this office via fax or e-mail on or before the date identified above. The receipt of this form is critical as it contains information essential for CMB's coordination of the electronic submission and review of proposals.]

RFP FORMS AND ATTACHMENTS:

THE RFP FORMS/ATTACHMENTS LISTED BELOW ARE AVAILABLE INVARIETY OF FORMATS AND MAY BE VIEWED OR DOWNLOADED DIRECTLY FROM THIS SITE:

http://www.niaid.nih.gov/contract/ref.htm

APPLICABLE TO TECHNICAL PROPOSAL (INCLUDE THESE DOCUMENTS/FORMS WITH YOUR TECHNICAL PROPOSAL):

- **Technical Proposal Cover Sheet**
- **Technical Proposal Cost Information**
- **Summary of Related Activities**
- **Government Notice for Handling Proposals**

APPLICABLE TO BUSINESS PROPOSAL (INCLUDE WITH YOUR BUSINESS PROPOSAL):

- **NIH-2043, Proposal Summary and Data Record**
- **Small Business Subcontracting Plan Format *[if applicable]***
- **Breakdown of Proposed Estimated Cost (plus fee) and Labor Hours**
- **Offeror's Points of Contact**

TO BECOME CONTRACT ATTACHMENTS (INFORMATION ONLY):

- **NIH(RC)-4: Invoice/Financing Request and Contract Financial Reporting Instructions for NIH Cost-Reimbursement Type Contracts**
- **NIH(RC)-7: Procurement of Certain Equipment, (OMB Bulletin 81-16)**
- **Safety and Health, HHSAR Clause 352.223-70**
- **Report of Government Owned, Contractor Held Property**
- **Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, OMB Form LLL**
- **Material Transfer Agreement**

PACKAGING/DELIVERY/ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF THE PROPOSAL

Listed below are delivery instructions for the submission of both PAPER and ELECTRONIC COPIES of your proposal.

PAPER SUBMISSION*: The paper copy is the official copy for recording timely receipt of proposals. *You are required to submit one original paper copy of your proposal along with the number of extra copies required below.

***ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION*: *In addition to the paper submission, you are required to submit your proposal electronically through the CRON (Contracts Review Online) in accordance with the instructions provided below. If you experience difficulty or are unable to transmit, you should submit your proposal on a CD-Rom or ZipDisk by an express delivery service. We can then upload your proposal into the electronic system. You must certify that both the original paper and electronic versions of the proposal are identical*.**

SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS BY FACSIMILE IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.

Shipment and marking of paper copies shall be as indicated below:

A. EXTERNAL PACKAGE MARKING:

In addition to the address cited below, mark each package as follows:

"RFP-NIH-NIAID-DMID-03-37"
TO BE OPENED BY AUTHORIZED GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL ONLY"

B. NUMBER OF COPIES:

The number of copies required of each part of your proposal are as specified below.

Technical Proposal: One (1) unbound signed original and five (5) unbound copies. Ten (10) copies of all material not available electronically (i.e. SOPs, Pertinent Manuals, Non-scannable Figures or Data, and Letters of Collaboration/Intent).

Business Proposal: One (1) unbound signed original and 5 unbound copies.

C. PAPER COPIES and CD-Rom or ZipDisk to:

If Hand Delivery or Express Service	If using U.S. Postal Service
Nancy M. Hershey Contracting Officer Contract Management Branch, DEA NIAID, NIH 6700-B Rockledge Drive, Room 2230 Bethesda, Maryland 20817	Nancy M. Hershey Contracting Officer Contract Management Branch, DEA NIAID, NIH 6700-B Rockledge Drive, Room 2230, MSC 7612 Bethesda, Maryland 20892-7612

NOTE: All material sent to this office by Federal Express should be sent to the Hand Carried Address.

NOTE: The U.S. Postal Service's "Express Mail" does not deliver to the hand delivered (20817 zip code) address. Any package sent to this address via this service will be held at a local post office for pick-up. THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PICKING UP ANY MAIL AT A LOCAL POST OFFICE. If a proposal is not received at the place, date, and time specified herein, it will be considered a "late proposal," in accordance with HHSAR 352.215-70, Late Proposals and Revisions (NOV 1986).

HOW TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN ELECTRONIC PROPOSAL

PAGE LIMITS -- THE TECHNICAL PROPOSAL IS LIMITED TO NOT-TO-EXCEED 100 PAGES [INCLUDING: Appendices, Attachments, Operating Manuals, Non-Scannable Figures or Data, Letters of Intent, etc.]. ANY PORTIONS OF YOUR PROPOSAL NOT AVAILABLE ELECTRONICALLY ARE ALSO CONSIDERED TO BE INCLUDED IN THE TOTAL PAGE LIMITATION. PAGES IN EXCESS OF THIS LIMITATION WILL BE REMOVED FROM THE PROPOSAL AND WILL NOT BE READ OR EVALUATED.

Note that although no page limit has been placed on the Business Proposal, offerors are encouraged to limit its content to only those documents necessary to provide adequate support for the proposed costs.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION – To submit a proposal electronically under this RFP, offerors will need to prepare the proposal on a word processor or spreadsheet program (for the business portion) and convert them to Adobe Acrobat Portable Document Format (.pdf). THE TECHNICAL PROPOSAL AND BUSINESS PROPOSAL MUST BE CONTAINED ON SEPARATE FILES which must be identified as either TECHNICAL or BUSINESS and include some recognizable portion of the ORGANIZATION NAME.

Please note that the electronic submission does not replace the requirement to submit a signed, unbound original paper copy of both your Technical and Business Proposal, along with any required unbound duplicate copies. These paper originals should be mailed or hand-delivered to the address provided in this attachment and must be received on/before the closing date and time.

There is no limit to the size (MB) of the two electronic PDF files to be submitted; however, the size of the technical proposal is limited to the page limitation language outlined above. For purposes of assessing compliance with the page count, technical proposals will be viewed using the print function of the Adobe Acrobat Reader, Version 4.0 (or higher).

Formatting Requirements:

- Do not embed sound or video (e.g., MPEG) files into the proposal documents. The evaluation system does not have the capability to read these files.
- Keep graphics embedded in documents as simple as possible. Complex graphics require longer periods for the computers used in the evaluation system to draw, and redraw these figures and scrolling through the document is slowed significantly.
- Type density and size must be 10 to 12 points. If constant spacing is used, there should be no more than 15 cpi, whereas proportional spacing should provide an average of no more than 15 cpi. There must be no more than six lines of text within a vertical inch. Margins must be set to 1 inch around.
- Paper size should not exceed 8-1/2 x 11. Larger paper sizes will be counted as 2 pages.
- Limit colors to 256 colors at 1024 x 768 resolution; avoid color gradients.
- Simplify the color palette used in creating figures.
- Be aware of how large these graphics files become. Large files are discouraged.
- Limit scanned images as much as possible.
- Limit appendices and attachments to relevant technical proposal information (e.g., SOPs, pertinent manuals, non-scannable figures or data, resumes, letters of commitment/intent).

SUBMISSION OF "PROPOSAL INTENT TO RESPOND SHEET":

Upon receipt by the Contracting Officer of the "Proposal Intent Response Sheet", offerors will be provided, via e-mail correspondence, specific electronic access information and electronic proposal transmission instructions. For this reason, it is imperative that all offerors who are intending to submit a proposal in response to this RFP contact the Contract Specialist identified in this RFP and complete and submit the attached "Proposal Intent Response Sheet" by the date provided on that Attachment.

CREATE ADOBE PDF ONLINE -- Adobe will allow you to create 5 documents on a trial for free. If you want to use the site regularly it costs $10/month or $100/year. Please link to the following URL for information:

https://createpdf.adobe.com/index.pl/3847995518.39272?BP=IE

LOG-IN / TRANSMISSION INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Log-in Site: Will be provided by the Contract Specialist after receipt of the "Proposal Intent Response Sheet"
2. Log-in Name: Will be provided by the Contract Specialist.
3. Log-in Password: Will be provided by the Contract Specialist.

4. Procedure -- When your proposal is completed and converted to a PDF file using Adobe Acrobat, it is ready to be transmitted electronically. You must upload separate Technical and Business Proposal Files. It is recommended that proposals be transmitted a few days before the due date so that you will have sufficient time to overcome any transmission difficulties.

- You must have Explorer 3.1 or higher.
- It is essential that you use antiviral software to scan all documents.
- Click on "Sign On" and enter your log-in name and password.
- Click on "Browse" to locate your saved files on your computer.
- Click on "Upload Proposal" after you have located the correct file.
- After a file is uploaded, a link to the file will appear under "Upload Files" at the bottom of the screen. Click on that link to view the uploaded file.
- If you experience difficulty in accessing your documents, please contact the appropriate NIH contracts office immediately.
- If you wish to revise your proposal before the closing date and time, simply log in again and re-post.

USER ACCESS TO THE POSTING SITE WILL BE DENIED AFTER THE RFP CLOSING DATE AND TIME PROVIDED WITH THIS RFP OR ITS MOST RECENT AMENDMENT(S).

PROPOSAL INTENT RESPONSE SHEET

RFP No.: NIH-NIAID-DMID-03-37
RFP Title: "Respiratory Pathogens Reference Laboratory Support"

Please review the attached Request for Proposal. Furnish the information requested below and return this page by November 4, 2002. Your expression of intent is not binding but will greatly assist us in planning for proposal evaluation.

Since your proposal will be submitted electronically, please include the name and e-mail of the individual to whom the electronic proposal instructions, login code, and password should be provided.

[ ] DO INTEND TO SUBMIT A PROPOSAL
[ ] DO NOT INTEND TO SUBMIT A PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

Company/Institution Name (print): _____
Address (print): _____
_____
_____
_____

Project Director's Name (print): _____
Title (print): _____
Signature/Date: _____
Telephone Number and E-mail Address (print clearly):
_____
_____

***Name of individual to whom electronic proposal instructions should be sent:**

Name: _____
Title: _____
E-Mail Address: _____
Telephone Number: _____

Names of Collaborating Institutions and Investigators (include Subcontractors and Consultants) (print):
_____
_____
_____
_____
(Continue list on a separate page if necessary)

RETURN VIA FAX OR E-MAIL TO:
CMB, NIAID, NIH
Room 2230
6700-B Rockledge Drive, MSC 7612
Bethesda, MD 20892-7612
Attn: Nancy M. Hershey
RFP-NIH-NIAID-DMID-03-37
FAX# (301) 402-0972
Email : nh11x@nih.gov

PART IV – REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

SECTION K - REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS

Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements of Offerors or Quoters (Negotiated).

1. REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS

The Representations and Certifications required by this particular acquisition can be accessed electronically from the INTERNET at the following address:

http://rcb.cancer.gov/rcb-internet/forms/rcneg.pdf

If you are unable to access this document electronically, you may request a copy from the Contracting Officer identified on the cover page of this solicitation.

IF YOU INTEND TO SUBMIT A PROPOSAL, YOU MUST COMPLETE THE REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS AND SUBMIT THEM AS PART OF YOUR BUSINESS PROPOSAL.

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

a. **INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS--COMPETITIVE ACQUISITION** [FAR Clause 52.215-1 (May 2001)]

(a) ***Definitions***. As used in this provision--

Discussions are negotiations that occur after establishment of the competitive range that may, at the Contracting Officer's discretion, result in the offeror being allowed to revise its proposal.

"In writing", "writing", or "written" any worded or numbered expression that can be read, reproduced, and later communicated, and includes electronically transmitted and stored information.

"Proposal modification" is a change made to a proposal before the solicitation's closing date and time, or made in response to an amendment, or made to correct a mistake at any time before award.

"Proposal revision" is a change to a proposal made after the solicitation closing date, at the request of or as allowed by a Contracting Officer as the result of negotiations.

"Time," if stated as a number of days, is calculated using calendar days, unless otherwise specified, and will include Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays. However, if the last day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, then the period shall include the next working day.

(b) ***Amendments to solicitations***. If this solicitation is amended, all terms and conditions that are not amended remain unchanged. Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation by the date and time specified in the amendment(s).

(c) ***Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals.*** (1) Unless other methods (*e.g.*, electronic commerce or facsimile) are permitted in the solicitation, proposals and modifications to proposals shall be submitted in paper media in sealed envelopes or packages (i) addressed to the office specified in the solicitation, and (ii) showing the time and date specified for receipt, the solicitation number, and the name and address of the offeror. Offerors using commercial carriers should ensure that the proposal is marked on the outermost wrapper with the information in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii) of this provision.

(2) The first page of the proposal must show--

(i) The solicitation number;
(ii) The name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the offeror (and electronic address if available);
(iii) A statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item;
(iv) Names, titles, and telephone and facsimile numbers (and electronic addresses if available) of persons authorized to negotiate on the offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation; and,
(v) Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal. Proposals signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the issuing office.

(3) ***Submission, modification, revision, and withdrawal of proposals***. (i) Offerors are responsible for submitting proposals, and any modifications or revisions, so as to reach the Government office designated in the solicitation by the time specified in the solicitation. If no time is specified in the solicitation, the time for receipt is 4:30 p.m., local time, for the designated Government office on the date that proposal or revision is due.

(ii) (A) Any proposal, modification, or revision received at the Government office designated in the solicitation after the exact time specified for receipt of offers is "late" and will not be considered unless it is received before award is made, the Contracting Officer determines that accepting the late offer would not unduly delay the acquisition; and--

(1) If it was transmitted through an electronic commerce method authorized by the solicitation, it was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 p.m. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals; or

(2) There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the Government installation designated for receipt of offers and was under the Government's control prior to the time set for receipt of offers; or

(3) It is the only proposal received.

(B) However, a late modification of an otherwise successful proposal that makes its terms more favorable to the Government, will be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.

(iii) Acceptable evidence to establish the time of receipt at the Government installation includes the time/date stamp of that installation on the proposal wrapper, other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the installation, or oral testimony or statements of Government personnel.

(iv) If an emergency or unanticipated event interrupts normal Government processes so that proposals cannot be received at the office designated for receipt of proposals by the exact time specified in the solicitation, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the solicitation, the time specified for receipt of proposals will be deemed to be extended to the same time of day specified in the solicitation on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume.

(v) Proposals may be withdrawn by written notice received at any time before award. Oral proposals in response to oral solicitations may be withdrawn orally. If the solicitation authorizes facsimile proposals, proposals may be withdrawn via facsimile received at any time before award, subject to the conditions specified in the provision at 52.215-5, Facsimile Proposals. Proposals may be withdrawn in person by an offeror or an authorized representative, if the identity of the person requesting withdrawal is established and the person signs a receipt for the proposal before award.

(4) Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, the offeror may propose to provide any item or combination of items.

(5) Offerors shall submit proposals in response to this solicitation in English, unless otherwise permitted by the solicitation, and in U.S. dollars, unless the provision at FAR 52.225-17, Evaluation of Foreign Currency Offers, is included in the solicitation.

(6) Offerors may submit modifications to their proposals at any time before the solicitation closing date and time, and may submit modifications in response to an amendment, or to correct a mistake at any time before award.

(7) Offerors may submit revised proposals only if requested or allowed by the Contracting Officer.

(8) Proposals may be withdrawn at any time before award. Withdrawals are effective upon receipt of notice by the Contracting Officer.

(d) ***Offer expiration date***. Proposals in response to this solicitation will be valid for the number of days specified on the solicitation cover sheet (unless a different period is proposed by the offeror).

[Note: In accordance with HHSAR 352.215-1, the following paragraph (e) is substituted for the subparagraph (e) of the provision at FAR 52.215-1.]

(e) ***Restriction on disclosure and use of data.*** (1) The proposal submitted in response to this request may contain data (trade secrets; business data, e.g., commercial information, financial information, and cost and pricing data; and technical data) which the offeror, including its prospective subcontractor(s), does not want used or disclosed for any purpose other than for evaluation of the proposal. The use and disclosure of any data may be so restricted; provided, that the Government determines that the data is not required to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended, and the offeror marks the cover sheet of the proposal with the following legend, specifying the particular portions of the proposal which are to be restricted in accordance with the conditions of the legend. The Government's determination to withhold or disclose a record will be based upon the particular circumstances involving the record in question and whether the record may be exempted from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. The legend reads:

> Unless disclosure is required by the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended, (the Act) as determined by Freedom of Information (FOI) officials of the Department of Health and Human Services, data contained in the portions of this proposal which have been specifically identified by page number, paragraph, etc. by the offeror as containing restricted information shall not be used or disclosed except for evaluation purposes.
>
> The offeror acknowledges that the Department may not be able to withhold a record (data, document, etc.) nor deny access to a record requested pursuant to the Act and that the Department's FOI officials must make that determination. The offeror hereby agrees that the Government is not liable for disclosure if the Department has determined that disclosure is required by the Act.
>
> If a contract is awarded to the offeror as a result of, or in connection with, the submission of this proposal, the Government shall have right to use or disclose the data to the extent provided in the contract. Proposals not resulting in a contract remain subject to the Act.
>
> The offeror also agrees that the Government is not liable for disclosure or use of unmarked data and may use or disclose the data for any purpose, including the release of the information pursuant to requests under the Act. The data subject to this restriction are contained in pages (insert page numbers, paragraph designations, etc. or other identification).

(2) In addition, the offeror should mark each page of data it wishes to restrict with the following statement:

> "Use or disclosure of data contained on this page is subject to the restriction on the cover sheet of this proposal or quotation."

(3) Offerors are cautioned that proposals submitted with restrictive legends or statements differing in substance from the above legend may not be considered for award. The Government reserves the right to reject any proposal submitted with a nonconforming legend.

(f) ***Contract award***. (1) The Government intends to award a contract or contracts resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror(s) whose proposal(s) represents the best value after evaluation in accordance with the factors and subfactors in the solicitation.

(2) The Government may reject any or all proposals if such action is in the Government's interest.

(3) The Government may waive informalities and minor irregularities in proposals received.

(4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a cost or price and technical standpoint. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

(5) The Government reserves the right to make an award on any item for a quantity less than the quantity offered, at the unit cost or prices offered, unless the offeror specifies otherwise in the proposal.

(6) The Government reserves the right to make multiple awards if, after considering the additional administrative costs, it is in the Government's best interest to do so.

(7) Exchanges with offerors after receipt of a proposal do not constitute a rejection or counteroffer by the Government.

(8) The Government may determine that a proposal is unacceptable if the prices proposed are materially unbalanced between line items or subline items. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. A proposal may be rejected if the Contracting Officer determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the Government.

(9) If a cost realism analysis is performed, cost realism may be considered by the source selection authority in evaluating performance or schedule risk.

(10) A written award or acceptance of proposal mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time specified in the proposal shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party.

(11) The Government may disclose the following information in postaward debriefings to other offerors:

(i) The overall evaluated cost or price and technical rating of the successful offeror;
(ii) The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the agency during source selection;
(iii) A summary of the rationale for award; and
(iv) For acquisitions of commercial items, the make and model of the item to be delivered by the successful offeror.

(End of Provision)

Alternate I (October 1997). As prescribed in 15.209(a)(1), substitute the following paragraph (f)(4) for paragraph (f)(4) of the basic provision:

(f) (4) The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract after conducting discussions with offerors whose proposals have been determined to be within the competitive range. If the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals. Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a price and technical standpoint.

b. **NAICS CODE AND SIZE STANDARD**

Note: The following information is to be used by the offeror in preparing its Representations and Certifications (See Section K of this RFP), specifically in completing the provision entitled, SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATION, FAR Clause 52.219-1.

(1) The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code for this acquisition is 541710.
(2) The small business size standard is 500 employees.

THIS REQUIREMENT IS NOT SET-ASIDE FOR SMALL BUSINESS. However, the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) requires in every solicitation, (except for foreign acquisitions) the inclusion of the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code and corresponding size standard which best describes the nature of the requirement in the solicitation.

c. **NOTICE OF PRICE EVALUATION ADJUSTMENT FOR SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS CONCERNS**

In accordance with FAR Clause 52.219-23, Notice of Price Evaluation Adjustment for Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns, incorporated in Section I.3., offerors will be evaluated by adding a factor of 10% percent to the price of all offers, except offers from small disadvantaged business concerns that have not waived the adjustment. (Note: A listing of other offerors who are excepted and will not have this evaluation factor added to their offer may be found in subparagraph (b) of FAR Clause 52.219-23.

A small disadvantaged business concern may elect to waive the adjustment, in which case the factor will be added to its offer for evaluation purposes. The agreements in paragraph (d) of FAR Clause 52.219-23 do not apply to offerors that waive the adjustment.

AN OFFEROR WHO ELECTS TO WAIVE THIS EVALUATION ADJUSTMENT MUST SPECIFICALLY INDICATE WITH A STATEMENT TO THIS EFFECT ON THE COVER PAGE OF ITS BUSINESS PROPOSAL.

d. **TYPE OF CONTRACT AND NUMBER OF AWARD(S)**

It is anticipated that two (2) awards will be made from this solicitation and that the awards will be made on/about June 30, 2003.

It is anticipated that the awards from this solicitation will be multiple-year cost-reimbursement, completion-type contracts with a period of performance of seven years each, and that incremental funding will be used [see Section L.2.c. Business Proposal Instructions].

e. **ESTIMATE OF EFFORT**

It is expected that a completion type contract will be awarded as a result of this RFP. To assist you in the preparation of your proposal, the Government considers the effort to be approximately as follows:

PART A Contract: 7,280 labor hours per year (~3.5 FTEs/year)
PART B Contract: 4,680 labor hours per year (~2.25 FTEs/year)

This information is furnished for the offeror's information only and is not to be considered restrictive for proposal purposes.

f. **COMMITMENT OF PUBLIC FUNDS**

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can legally commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds in connection with the proposed procurement. Any other commitment, either explicit or implied, is invalid.

g. **COMMUNICATIONS PRIOR TO CONTRACT AWARD**

Offerors shall direct all communications to the attention of the Contract Specialist or Contracting Officer cited on the face page of this RFP. Communications with other officials may compromise the competitiveness of this acquisition and result in cancellation of the requirement.

h. **RELEASE OF INFORMATION**

Contract selection and award information will be disclosed to offerors in accordance with regulations applicable to negotiated acquisition. Prompt written notice will be given to unsuccessful offerors as they are eliminated from the competition, and to all offerors following award.

i. **COMPARATIVE IMPORTANCE OF PROPOSALS**

You are advised that paramount consideration shall be given to the evaluation of technical proposals. All evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are significantly more important than cost or price. The relative importance of the evaluation factors is specified in SECTION M of this solicitation. However, the Government reserves the right to make an award to the best advantage of the Government, cost and other factors considered.

j. **PREPARATION COSTS**

This RFP does not commit the Government to pay for the preparation and submission of a proposal.

k. **SERVICE OF PROTEST** (AUGUST 1996) - FAR 52.233-2

(a) Protests, as defined in section 33.101 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, that are filed directly with an agency, and copies of any protests that are filed with the General Accounting Office (GAO), shall be served on the Contracting Officer (addressed as follows) by obtaining written and dated acknowledgment of receipt from:

Brenda J. Velez
Contracting Officer
Contract Management Branch, DEA
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
6700-B Rockledge Drive, Room 2230, MSC 7612
BETHESDA MD 20892-7612

(b) The copy of any protest shall be received in the office designated above within one day of filing a protest with the GAO.

(End of Provision)

l. **LATE PROPOSALS AND REVISIONS**, HHSAR 352.215-70

Notwithstanding the procedures contained in FAR 52.215-1(c)(3) of the provision of this solicitation entitled Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition, a proposal received after the date specified for receipt may be considered if it offers significant cost or technical advantages to the Government; and it was received before proposals were distributed for evaluation, or within five calendar days after the exact time specified for receipt, whichever is earlier.

(End of provision)

m. **USE OF INTERNET WEB SITE ADDRESSES (URLs) IN PROPOSALS**

Unless otherwise specified or required in NIAID solicitations, internet Web Site addresses (URLs) may not be used to provide information necessary to the conduct of the review of the proposal. Direct access to an internet site by a Reviewer who is examining and reviewing the proposal on behalf of the NIAID could compromise their anonymity during the review process. If a URL contains information pertinent to the proposal content, the offeror must provide access to the website via a temporary website portal which allow reviewers the capability to view and interact with the site.

The proposal must clearly identify the URLs to be accessed and the procedure for accessing the temporary website portal. Access must not require the identity of the individual.

2. INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

a. **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

INTRODUCTION

The following instructions will establish the acceptable minimum requirements for the format and contents of proposals. Special attention is directed to the requirements for technical and business proposals to be submitted in accordance with these instructions.

(1) **Contract Type and General Clauses**

It is contemplated that a cost-reimbursement, completion type contract will be awarded. (See General Information) Any resultant contract shall include the clauses applicable to the selected offeror's organization and type of contract awarded as required by Public Law, Executive Order, or acquisition regulations in effect at the time of execution of the proposed contract.

(2) **Authorized Official and Submission of Proposal**

The proposal must be signed by an official authorized to bind your organization and must stipulate that it is predicated upon all the terms and conditions of this RFP. Your proposal shall be submitted in the number of copies, to the addressees, and marked as indicated in the Attachment entitled, PACKAGING AND DELIVERY OF PROPOSAL, Part III, Section J hereof. Proposals will be typewritten, paginated, reproduced on letter size paper and will be legible in all required copies. To expedite the proposal evaluation, all documents required for responding to the RFP should be placed in the following order:

I. **COVER PAGE**

Include RFP title, number, name of organization, identification of the proposal part, and indicate whether the proposal is an original or a copy.

II. **TECHNICAL PROPOSAL**

It is recommended that the technical proposal consist of a cover page, a table of contents, and the information requested in the Technical Proposal Instructions and as specified in SECTION J, List of Attachments.

III. **BUSINESS PROPOSAL**

It is recommended that the business proposal consist of a cover page, a table of contents, and the information requested in the Business Proposal Instructions and as specified in SECTION J, List of Attachments.

(3) **Proposal Summary and Data Record (NIH-2043)**

The Offeror must complete the Form NIH-2043, attached, with particular attention to the length of time the proposal is firm and the designation of those personnel authorized to conduct negotiations. (See Section J, Attachment entitled, PROPOSAL SUMMARY AND DATA RECORD).

(4) **Separation of Technical and Business Proposals**

The proposal must be prepared in two parts: a "Technical Proposal" and a "Business Proposal." Each of the parts shall be separate and complete in itself so that evaluation of one may be accomplished independently of, and concurrently with, evaluation of the other. The technical proposal must include direct cost and resources

information, such as labor-hours and categories and applicable rates, materials, subcontracts, travel, etc., and associated costs so that the offeror's understanding of the project may be evaluated (See Attachment entitled, TECHNICAL PROPOSAL COST INFORMATION/SUMMARY OF LABOR AND DIRECT COSTS).) However, the technical proposal should **not** include pricing data relating to individual salary information, indirect cost rates or amounts, fee amounts (if any)., and total costs. The technical proposal should disclose your technical approach in as much detail as possible, including, but not limited to, the requirements of the technical proposal instructions.

(5) **Alternate Proposals**

You may, at your discretion, submit alternate proposals, or proposals which deviate from the requirements; provided, that you also submit a proposal for performance of the work as specified in the statement of work. Such proposals may be considered if overall performance would be improved or not compromised and if they are in the best interests of the Government. Alternative proposals, or deviations from any requirements of this RFP, shall be clearly identified.

(6) **Evaluation of Proposals**

The Government will evaluate technical proposals in accordance with the criteria set forth in PART IV, SECTION M of this RFP.

(7) **Potential Award Without Discussions**

The Government reserves the right to award a contract without discussions if the Contracting Officer determines that the initial prices are fair and reasonable and that discussions are not necessary.

(8) **Use of the Metric System of Measurement**

It is the policy of the Department of Health and Human Services to support the Federal transition to the metric system and to use the metric system of measurement in all procurements, grants, and other business related activities unless such use is impracticable or is likely to cause significant inefficiencies.

The offeror is encouraged to prepare their proposal using either "Hard Metric," "Soft Metric," or "Dual Systems" of measurement. The following definitions are provided for your information:

Hard Metric - The replacement of a standard inch-pound size with an accepted metric size for a particular purpose. An example of size substitution might be: selling or packaging liquids by the liter instead of by the pint or quart (as for soft drinks), or instead of by the gallon (as for gasoline).

Soft Metric - The result of a mathematical conversion of inch-pound measurements to metric equivalents for a particular purpose. The physical characteristics are not changed.

Dual Systems - The use of both inch-pound and metric systems. For example, an item is designed, produced, and described in inch-pound values with soft metric values also shown for information or comparison purposes.

(9) **Human Subjects**

IMPORTANT NOTE TO OFFERORS: The following 6 paragraphs [(9) through (14)] shall be addressed in a SEPARATE SECTION of the Technical Proposal entitled, "HUMAN SUBJECTS."

The following notice is applicable when contract performance is expected to involve risk to human subjects:

Notice to Offerors of Requirements of 45 CFR Part 46, Protection of Human Subjects (JANUARY 2001)

a) Copies of the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) regulations for the protection of human subjects, 45 CFR Part 46, are available from the Office of Protection from Research Risks (OPRR), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, Maryland 20892*. The regulations provide a systematic means, based on established ethical principles, to safeguard the rights and welfare of individuals who participate as subjects in research activities supported or conducted by the Department.

b) The regulations define a human subject as a living individual about whom an investigator (whether professional or student) conducting research obtains data through intervention or interaction with the individual, or identifiable private information. The regulations extend to the use of human organs, tissue and body fluids from individually identifiable human subjects as well as to graphic, written or recorded information derived from individually identifiable human subjects. The use of autopsy materials is governed by applicable State and local law and is not directly regulated by 45 CFR, Part 46.

c) Activities in which the only involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the categories set forth in 45 CFR 46.101(b)(1-6) are exempt from coverage.

d) Inappropriate designations of the noninvolvement of human subjects or of exempt categories of research in a project may result in delays in the review of a proposal. The National Institutes of Health will make a final determination of whether the proposed activities are covered by the regulations or are in an exempt category, based on the information provided in the proposal. In doubtful cases, prior consideration with OPRR*, (telephone: 301-496-7014*), is recommended.

e) In accordance with 45 CFR, Part 46, prospective Contractors being considered for award shall be required to file with OPRR* an acceptable Assurance of Compliance with the regulations, specifying review procedures and assigning responsibilities for the protection of human subjects. The initial and continuing review of a research project by an institutional review board shall assure that the rights and welfare of the human subjects involved are adequately protected, that the risks to the subjects are reasonable in relation to the potential benefits, if any, to the subjects and the importance of the knowledge to be gained, and that informed consent will be obtained by methods that are adequate and appropriate. Prospective Contractors proposing research that involves human subjects shall be contacted by OPRR* and given detailed instructions for establishing an institutional review board and filing an Assurance of Compliance.

f) It is recommended that OPRR* be consulted for advice or guidance concerning either regulatory requirements or ethical issues pertaining to research involving human subjects. (End of Provision)

**Note: The Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) is the office responsible for oversight of the Protection of Human subjects and should replace Office for Protection from Research Risks (OPRR), National Institutes of Health (NIH) wherever it appears in this provision. The phone number to reach this office is 301-496-7014. For more information, the OHRP website may be accessed at http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/ Copies of the DHHS Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects, 45 CFR Part 46, are also available on line at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_01/45cfr46_01.html.*

(10) **Instructions to Offerors Regarding Protection of Human Subjects**

*****(Note: The requirements in this paragraph (10), may be supplemented when necessary, based on the specific requirements of the solicitation.) *****

Offerors must address the following human subjects protections issues if this contract will be for research involving human subjects (note: under each of the following points below, the offeror should indicate whether the information provided relates to the primary research site, or to a collaborating performance site(s), or to all sites:

(a) Risks to the subjects

Human Subjects Involvement and Characteristics:

- Describe the proposed involvement of human subjects in response to the solicitation.

- Describe the characteristics of the subject population, including their anticipated number, age range, and health status.

- Identify the criteria for inclusion or exclusion of any subpopulation. Explain the rationale for the involvement of special classes of subjects, such as fetuses, pregnant women, children, prisoners, institutionalized individuals, or others who are likely to be vulnerable populations.

Sources of Materials:

- Identify the sources of research material obtained from individually identifiable living human subjects in the form of specimens, records, or data. Indicate whether the material or data will be obtained specifically for research purposes or whether use will be made of existing specimens, records, or data.

Potential Risks:

- Describe the potential risks to subjects (physical, psychological, social, legal, or other) and assess their likelihood and seriousness to the subjects.

- Describe alternative treatments and procedures, including the risks and benefits of the alternative treatments and procedures, to participants in the proposed research, where appropriate.

(b) Adequacy of Protection Against Risks

Recruitment and Informed Consent:

- Describe plans for the recruitment of subjects and the procedures for obtaining informed consent. Include a description of the circumstances under which consent will be sought and obtained, who will seek it, the nature of the information to be provided to prospective subjects, and the method of documenting consent. The informed consent document for the contractor and any collaborating sites should be submitted only if requested elsewhere in the solicitation. Be aware that an IRB-approved informed consent document for the contractor and any participating collaborative sites must be provided to the Government prior to patient accrual or participant enrollment.

Protection Against Risk:

- Describe the procedures for protecting against or minimizing potential risks, including risks to confidentiality, and assess their likely effectiveness.

- Discuss provisions for ensuring necessary medical or professional intervention in the event of adverse effects to the subjects where appropriate.

- In studies that involve interventions, describe the provisions for data and safety monitoring of the research to ensure the safety of subjects.

(c) Potential Benefits of the Proposed Research to the Subjects and Others

- Discuss the potential benefits of the research to the subjects and others.

- Discuss why the risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to the anticipated benefits to subjects and others.

- Describe treatments and procedures that are alternatives to those provided to the participants by the proposed research, where appropriate.

(d) Importance of the Knowledge to be Gained

- Discuss the importance of the knowledge gained or to be gained as a result of the proposed research.

- Discuss why the risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to the importance of the knowledge that may reasonably be expected to result.

Note: If a test article (investigational new drug, device, or biologic) is involved, name the test article and state whether the 30-day interval between submission of offeror's certification to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and its response has elapsed or has been waived and/or whether the FDA has withheld or restricted use of the test article.

Collaborating Site(s)

When research involving human subjects will take place at collaborating site(s) or other performance site(s), the offeror must provide in this section of its proposal a list of the collaborating sites and their assurance numbers. Further, if you are awarded a contract, you must obtain in writing, and keep on file, an assurance from each site that the previous points have been adequately addressed at a level of attention that is at least as high as that documented at your organization. Site(s) added after an award is made must also adhere to the above requirements.

(11) **Care of Live Vertebrate Animals**

a. The following notice is applicable when contract performance is expected to involve care of live vertebrate animals:

Notice to Offerors of Requirement for Adequate Assurance of Protection of Vertebrate Animal Subjects - (SEPTEMBER 1985)

The Public Health Service (PHS) Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals establishes a number of requirements for research activities involving animals. Before a PHS award may be made to an applicant organization, the organization shall file, with the Office of Extramural Research (OER), Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW), National Institutes of Health (NIH), PHS, a written Animal Welfare Assurance which commits the organization to comply with the provisions of the PHS Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by Awardee Institutions, the Animal Welfare Act, and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources. In accordance with the PHS Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by Awardee Institutions, applicant organizations must establish a committee, qualified through the experience and expertise of its members, to oversee the institution's animal program, facilities and procedures. No PHS award involving the use of animals shall be made unless the Animal Welfare Assurance has been approved by OER. Prior to award, the Contracting Officer will notify Contractor(s) selected for projects that involve live vertebrate animals that an Animal Welfare Assurance is required. The Contracting Officer will request that OER, OLAW negotiate an acceptable Animal Welfare Assurance with those Contractor(s). For further information, OER, OLAW, may be contacted at Rockledge Center I - Suite 1050, 6705 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20817, (301) 496-7163, ext 234. FAX copies are of the PHS Policy are available at (301) 402-2803. This policy is also available on the internet at http://www.grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm.

b. If an Animal Assurance is already in place, the offeror's proposal shall include:

-The Animal Welfare Assurance number.
-The date last certified by OLAW. (i.e. assurance letter from OLAW)
-Evidence of recent AAALAC Accreditation.

(12) **Obtaining and Disseminating Biomedical Research Resources**

As a public sponsor of biomedical research, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has a dual interest in accelerating scientific discovery and facilitating product development. Intellectual property restrictions can stifle the broad dissemination of new discoveries and limit future avenues of research and product development. At the same time, reasonable restrictions on the dissemination of research tools are sometimes necessary to protect legitimate proprietary interests and to preserve incentives for commercial development. To assist NIH contractors achieve an appropriate balance, the NIH has provided guidance in the form of a two-part document, consisting of Principles setting forth the fundamental concepts and Guidelines that provide specific information to patent and license professionals and sponsored research administrators for implementation.

The purpose of these Principles and Guidelines is to assist NIH funding recipients in determining: 1) Reasonable terms and conditions for making NIH-funded research resources available to scientists in other institutions in the public and private sectors (disseminating research tools); and 2) Restrictions to accept as a conditions of receiving access to research tools for use in NIH-funded research (acquiring research tools). The intent is to help recipients ensure that the conditions they impose and accept on the transfer of research tools will facilitate further biomedical research, consistent with the requirements of the Bayh-Dole Act and NIH funding policy.

This policy, entitled, "Sharing Biomedical Research Resources: Principles and Guidelines for Recipients of NIH Research Grants and Contracts," (Federal Register Notice, December 23, 1999 [64 FR 72090] will be included in any contract awarded from this solicitation. It can be found at the following website: http://ott.od.nih.gov/NewPages/64FR72090.pdf.

(13) **Selection of Offerors**

a) The acceptability of the scientific and technical portion of each research contract proposal will be evaluated by a technical review committee. The committee will evaluate each proposal in strict conformity with the evaluation criteria of the RFP, utilizing point scores and written critiques. The committee may suggest that the Contracting Officer request clarifying information from an offeror.

b) The business portion of each contract proposal will be subjected to a cost and price analysis, management analysis, etc.

c) If award will be made without conducting discussions, offerors may be given the opportunity to clarify certain aspects of their proposal (e.g., the relevance of an offeror's past performance information and adverse past performance information to which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to respond) or to resolve minor or clerical errors.

d) If the Government intends to conduct discussions prior to awarding a contract-

(1) Communications will be held with offerors whose past performance information is the determining factor preventing them from being placed within the competitive range. Such communications shall address adverse past performance information to which an offeror has not had a prior opportunity to respond. Also, communications may be held with any other offerors whose exclusion from, or inclusion in, the competitive range is uncertain.

Such communications shall not be used to cure proposal deficiencies or omissions that alter the technical or cost elements of the proposal, and/or otherwise revise the proposal, but may be considered in rating proposals for the purpose of establishing the competitive range.

(2) The Contracting Officer will, in concert with program staff, decide which proposals are in the competitive range. The competitive range will be comprised of all of the most highly rated proposals. Oral or written discussions will be conducted with all offerors in the competitive range.

While it is this Institute's policy to conduct discussions with all offerors in the competitive range, the Institute reserves the right, in special circumstances, to limit the number of proposals included in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition. All aspects of the proposals are subject to discussions, including cost, technical approach, past performance, and contractual terms and conditions. At the conclusion of discussions, each offeror still in the competitive range shall be given an opportunity to submit a written Final Proposal Revision (FPR) with the reservation of the right to conduct finalization of details with the selected sources in accordance with HHSAR 315.370.

e) The process described in FAR 15.101-1 will be employed, which permits the Government to make tradeoffs among cost or price and non-cost factors and to consider award to other than the lowest price offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror. This process will take into consideration the results of the technical evaluation, the past performance evaluation (if applicable) and the cost analysis.

f) The Institute reserves the right to make a single award, multiple awards, or no award at all to the RFP. In addition, the RFP may be amended or canceled as necessary to meet the Institute's requirements. Synopses of awards exceeding $25,000 will be published in the Commerce Business Daily and FedBizOpps.

(14) **Small Business Subcontracting Plan**

If the proposed contract exceeds a total estimated cost of $500,000 for the entire period of performance, the offeror shall be required to submit an acceptable subcontracting plan in accordance with the terms of the clause entitled "Small Business Subcontracting Plan," FAR Clause No. 52.219-9, incorporated herein by reference in the Solicitation, Attachment _ to this RFP is an example of such a plan.

a) THIS PROVISION DOES NOT APPLY TO SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.

b) The term "subcontract" means any agreement (other than one involving an employer-employee relationship) entered into by a Federal Government prime Contractor or subcontractor calling for supplies or services required for the performance of the original contract or subcontract. This includes, but is not limited to, agreements/purchase orders for supplies and services such as equipment purchase, copying services, and travel services.

c) The offeror understands that:

(1) No contract will be awarded unless and until an acceptable plan is negotiated with the Contracting Officer which plan will be incorporated into the contract, as a material part thereof.

(2) An acceptable plan must, in the determination of the Contracting Officer, provide the maximum practicable opportunity for Small Businesses, Small Disadvantaged Businesses, Women-Owned Small businesses, HubZone Small Businesses, Veteran-Owned Small Businesses, and Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses to participate in the performance of the contract.

(3) If a subcontracting plan acceptable to the Contracting Officer is not negotiated within the time limits prescribed by the contracting activity and such failure arises out of causes within the control and with the fault or negligence of the offeror, the offeror shall be ineligible for an award. The Contracting Officer shall notify the Contractor in writing of the reasons for determining a subcontracting plan unacceptable early enough in the negotiation process to allow the Contractor to modify the plan within the time limits prescribed.

(4) Prior compliance of the offeror with other such subcontracting plans under previous contracts will be considered by the Contracting Officer in determining the responsibility of the offeror for award of the contract.

(5) It is the offeror's responsibility to develop a satisfactory subcontracting plan with respect to Small Business Concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns, Women-Owned Small Business Concerns, HubZone Small Business Concerns, Veteran-Owned Small Business Concerns, and Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business Concerns that each such aspect of the offeror's plan will be judged independent of the other.

(6) The offeror will submit, as required by the Contracting Officer, subcontracting reports in accordance with the instructions thereon, and as further directed by the Contracting Officer. Subcontractors will also submit these reports to the Government's Contracting Officer or as otherwise directed, with a copy to the prime Contractor's designated small and disadvantaged business liaison.

d) Each plan must contain the following:

(1) Goals, expressed in terms of percentages of total planned subcontracting dollars, for the use of Small, Small Disadvantaged, Women-Owned, HUBZone, Veteran-Owned, and Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business Concerns as subcontractors.

(2) A statement of total dollars planned to be subcontracted. A statement of total dollars to be subcontracted to each of the following type of small business concerns: Small, Small Disadvantaged, Women-Owned, HUBZone, Veteran-Owned, and Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses.

(3) A description of the principal types of supplies and services to be subcontracted with an identification of which supplies and services are expected to be subcontracted to Small, Small Disadvantaged, Women-Owned, HUBZone, Veteran-Owned and/or Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business Concerns.

(4) A description of the method used to develop the subcontracting goals.

(5) A description of the method used to identify potential sources for solicitation purposes.

(6) A statement as to whether or not indirect costs were included in establishing subcontracting goals. If they were, a description of the method used to determine the proportionate share of indirect costs to be incurred with Small, Small Disadvantaged, Women-Owned, HUBZone, Veteran-Owned, and Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses.

(7) The name of the individual employed by the offeror who will administer the offeror's subcontracting program and a description of his/her duties.

(8) A description of the efforts the offeror will make to assure that Small, Small Disadvantaged, Women-Owned, HUBZone, Veteran-Owned, and Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses have an equitable chance to compete for subcontracts.

(9) Assurances that the offeror will include in all subcontracts the contract clause "Utilization of Small Business Concerns." Assure that all subcontractors, other than small businesses, in excess of $500,000 adopt a plan similar to the plan agreed upon by the offeror.

(10) Assurances that the offeror (and any required subcontractors) will cooperate in studies or surveys as required and submit required reports (SF 294 and SF 295) to the Government.

(11) List the types of records the offeror will maintain to demonstrate procedures that have been adopted to comply with the requirement and goals in the plan, including establishing source lists. Also, the offeror shall describe its efforts to locate Small, Small Disadvantaged, Women-Owned, HUBZone, Veteran-Owned, and Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses and award subcontracts to them.

For additional information about each of the above elements required to be contained the subcontracting plan, see FAR Clause 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan, and the Sample Subcontracting Plan which is provided as an attachment to this RFP in SECTION J.

(15) **HUBZone Small Business Concerns**

Small Business offerors located in underutilized business zones, called "HUBZones," will be evaluated in accordance with FAR Clause 52.219-4, NOTICE OF PRICE EVALUATION PREFERENCE FOR HUBZONE SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS, which is incorporated by reference in ARTICLE I.3. of this solicitation. Qualified HUBZone firms are identified in the Small Business Administration website at: http://www.sba.gov/hubzone.

(16) **Extent of Small Disadvantaged Business Participation**

In accordance with FAR Subpart 15.304(c)(4), the extent of participation of Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) concerns in performance of the contract in the authorized NAICS Industry Subsectors shall be evaluated in unrestricted competitive acquisitions expected to exceed $500,000 ($1,000,000 for construction) subject to certain limitations (see FAR 19.1202-1 and 19.1202-2(b). The dollar amounts cited above include any option years/option quantities that may be included in this solicitation. The definition of a "small disadvantaged business" is cited in FAR 19.001.

The factor entitled "Extent of Small Disadvantaged Business Participation" as set forth under the Evaluation Criteria in Section M shall be used for evaluation purposes. Credit under this evaluation factor is not available to SDB concerns that receive a Price Evaluation Adjustment (PEA) under FAR 19.11. Therefore, an SDB will be evaluated on this factor only if that SDB concern waives the PEA. **Waiver of the price evaluation adjustment shall be clearly stated in the proposal.**

The Department of Commerce determines, on an annual basis, by Subsectors, as contained in the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes, and region, if any, the authorized SDB procurement mechanisms and applicable factors (percentages). The NAICS codes can be found at: http://www.sba.gov/size

The Department of Commerce website for the annual determination is:
http://www.arnet.gov/References/sdbadjustments.htm

Offerors shall include with their offers, SDB targets, expressed as dollars and percentages of total contract value, in each of the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector(s). The applicable authorized NAICS Industry Subsector(s) for this project is (are) identified elsewhere in this RFP. A total target for SDB participation by the prime contractor, that includes any joint ventures and team members, shall be provided as well as a total target for SDB participation by subcontractors. In addition, offerors must provide information that describes their plans for meeting the targets set forth in their proposal. **This information shall be provided in one clearly marked section of the Business Proposal, which shall describe the extent of participation of SDB concerns in the performance of the contract.**

If the evaluation factor in this solicitation includes an SDB evaluation factor or subfactor that considers the extent to which SDB concerns are specifically identified, the SDB concerns considered in the evaluation shall be listed in any resultant contract. Offerors should note that addressing the extent of small disadvantaged business participation **is not in any way intended to be a substitute** for submission of the subcontracting plan, if it is required by this solicitation. An example of the type of information that might be given (in addition to the narrative describing the plan for meeting the targets) follows:

EXAMPLE

Targets for SDB Participation - NAICS Industry Subsector 223

	SDB Percentage of Total Contract Value	**SDB Dollars**
Total Contract Value- $1,000,000	25%	$250,000
SDB Participation by Prime	10%	$100,000
(Includes joint venture partners and team arrangements)*		
SDB Participation by subcontractors	15%	$150,000

***NOTE:** FAR Subpart 9.6 defines "Contractor team arrangements" to include two or more companies forming a partnership or joint venture to act as a potential prime contractor, or a potential prime contractor who agrees with one or more companies to have them act as its subcontractors on a specific contract or acquisition program. For purposes of evaluation of the SDB participation factor, FAR 19.1202-4 requires that SDB joint ventures and teaming arrangements at the prime level be presented separately from SDB participation by subcontractors.

(17) **Salary Rate Limitation in Fiscal Year 2003 ****

Offerors are advised that pursuant to P.L. 107-116, no NIH Fiscal Year 2002 (October 1, 2001 - September 30, 2002) funds may be used to pay the direct annual salary of an individual through any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation at a rate in excess of the Executive Schedule, Level I* (direct salary is exclusive of Overhead, Fringe Benefits and General and Administrative expenses, also referred to as "indirect cost" or "facilities and administrative (F&A) costs"). Direct salary has the same meaning as the term "institutional base salary." An individual's direct salary (or institutional base salary) is the annual compensation that the contractor pays for an individual's appointment whether that individual's time is spent on research, teaching, patient care or other activities. Direct salary (or institutional base salary) excludes any income that an individual may be permitted to earn outside of duties to the contractor.

This does not preclude the offeror from absorbing that portion of an employee's annual salary (plus the dollar amount for fringe benefits and associated indirect costs) that exceeds a rate of the Executive Schedule, Level I*. The salary rate limitation set by P.L. 107-116 applies only to Fiscal Year 2002 funds, however, salary rate ceilings for subsequent years may be included in future DHHS appropriation acts. Multi-year contracts awarded pursuant to this solicitation may be subject to unilateral modifications by the Government if an individual's annual salary exceeds any salary rate ceiling established in future appropriations acts. The Executive Schedule, Level I* annual salary rate limit also applies to individuals proposed under subcontracts, however it does not apply to consultants. P.L. 107-116 states in pertinent part:

> "None of the funds appropriated in this Act for the National Institutes of Health, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, and the Substance Abuse, and Mental Health Services Administration shall be used to pay the salary of an individual through a grant or extramural mechanism at a rate in excess of Executive Level I."

Information regarding the FY-2002 rate can be found at: http://www.opm.gov/oca/02tables/ex.pdf

It should be noted that a similar public law can be enacted in Fiscal Year 2003, that public law will be incorporated into any resultant contract.

(18) **Institutional Responsibility Regarding Conflicting Interests of Investigators**

EACH INSTITUTION MUST:

(a) Maintain an appropriate written, enforced policy on conflict of interest that complies with 42 CFR Part 50 Subpart F and/or 45 CFR Part 94 as appropriate and inform each investigator of the Institution's policy, the Investigator's reporting responsibilities, and the applicable regulations. If the Institution carries out the NIH funded research through subgrantees, contractors or collaborators, the Institution must take reasonable steps to ensure that Investigators working for such entities comply with the regulations, either by requiring those investigators to comply with the Institution's policy or by requiring the entities to provide assurances to the Institution that will enable the Institution to comply with the regulations.

(b) Designate an Institutional official(s) to solicit and review financial disclosure statements from each Investigator who is planning to participate in NIH-funded research.

(c) Require that by the time an application/proposal is submitted to the NIH each investigator who is planning to participate in the NIH-funded research has submitted to the designated official(s) a listing of his/her known Significant Financial Interests (and those of his/her spouse and dependent children): (i) that would reasonably appear to be affected by the research for which the NIH funding is sought; and (ii) in entities whose financial interests would reasonably appear to be affected by the research. All financial disclosures must be updated during the period of the award, either on an annual basis or as new reportable Significant Financial Interests are obtained.

(d) Provide guidelines consistent with the regulations for the designated official(s) to identify conflicting interests and take such actions as necessary to ensure that such conflicting interests will be managed, reduced, or eliminated.

(e) Maintain records, identifiable to each award, of all financial disclosures and all actions taken by the institution with respect to each conflicting interest for: (1) in the case of grants, at least three years from the date of submission of the final expenditures report or, where applicable, from other dates specified in 45 CFR Part 74.53(b) and (2) in the case of contracts, 3 years after final payment or, where applicable, for the other time period specified in 48 CFR Part 4 Subpart 4.7, Contract Records Retention.

(f) Establish adequate enforcement mechanisms and provide for sanctions where appropriate.

(g) Certify, in each application/proposal for funding to which the regulations applies, that:

1) there is in effect at the Institution a written and enforced administrative process to identify and manage, reduce or eliminate conflicting interests with respect to all research projects for which funding is sought from the NIH;

2) prior to the Institution's expenditure of any funds under the award, the Institution will report to the awarding component the existence of a conflicting interest (but not the nature of the interest or other details) found by the Institution and assure that the interest has been managed, reduced or eliminated in accord with the regulations; and for any interest that the Institution identifies as conflicting subsequent to the expenditure of funds after award, the report will be made and the conflicting interest managed, reduced, or eliminated, at least on a temporary basis within sixty days of that identification;

3) the Institution agrees to make information available, upon request, to the awarding component regarding all conflicting interests identified by the Institution and how those interested have been managed, reduced, or eliminated to protect the research from bias; and

4) the Institution will otherwise comply with the regulations.

INSTITUTIONAL MANAGEMENT OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

(a) The designated official(s) must: (1) review all financial disclosures; and (2) determine whether conflict of interest exists, and if so, determine what actions should be taken by the Institution to manage, reduce or eliminate such conflict of interest. **A conflict of interest exists when the designated official(s) reasonably determines that a Significant Financial Interest could directly and significantly affect the design, conduct, or reporting of the NIH-funded research**.

Examples of conditions or restrictions that might be imposed to manage actual or potential conflicts of interests include, but are not limited to:

(i) public disclosure of significant financial interests;
(ii) monitoring of research by independent reviewers;
(iii) modification of the research plan;
(iv) disqualification of the Investigator(s) from participation in all or a portion of the research funded by the awarding component;
(v) divestiture of significant financial interests; or
(vi) severance of relationships that create actual or potential conflicts of interests.

(b) An Institution may require the management of other conflicting financial interests in addition to those described in paragraph (a) of this section, as the Institution deems appropriate.

(19) **ROTC Access and Federal Military Recruiting on Campus**

Section 514 of the FY 1997 Appropriations Act prohibits NIH from providing contract funds to educational institutions that the Secretary of Defense determines have a policy or practice (regardless of when implemented) that either prohibits, or in effect prevents (1) the maintaining, establishing, or operation of a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps at the covered education entity; or (2) a student at the covered educational entity from enrolling in a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps at another institution of higher education.

Further, contract funds may not be provided to educational institutions that have a policy or practice that prohibits or prevents (1) entry to campuses, or access to students (who are 17 years of age or older) on campuses, for purposes of Federal military recruiting; or (2) access by military recruiters for purposes of Federal military recruiting to information pertaining to students (who are 17 years of age or older) enrolled at the covered educational entity.

(20) **Past Performance Information**

a) Offerors shall submit the following information as part of their BUSINESS proposal.

A list of the last 5 contracts completed during the past three years and the last 3 contracts awarded currently in process that are similar in nature to the solicitation workscope. Contracts listed may include those entered into by the Federal Government, agencies of state and local governments and commercial concerns. Offerors that are newly formed entities without prior contracts should list contracts and subcontracts as required above for all key personnel.

Include the following information for each contract or subcontract:

1. Name of Contracting Organization
2. Contract Number (for subcontracts, provide the prime contract number and the subcontract number)
3. Contract Type
4. Total Contract Value
5. Description of Requirement
6. Contracting Officer's Name and Telephone Number
7. Program Manager's Name and Telephone Number
8. Standard Industrial Code

The offeror shall submit comparable information on all subcontractors that the offeror proposes to perform a major subcontract under this effort. For the purpose of this solicitation, a "major subcontract" is defined as **any subcontract valued at greater than $500,000**.

The offeror may provide information on problems encountered on the identified contracts and the offeror's corrective actions.

b) Each offeror will be evaluated on its performance under existing and prior contracts for similar products or services. The Government is not required to contact all references provided by the offeror. Also, references other than those identified by the offeror may be contacted by the Government to obtain additional information that will be used in the evaluation of the offeror's past performance.

(21) **Solicitation Provisions Incorporated by Reference**, FAR 52.252-1 (February 1998)

This Solicitation incorporates one or more solicitation provisions by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. The offeror is cautioned that the listed provisions may include blocks that must be completed by the offeror and submitted with its quotation or offer. In lieu of submitting the full text provisions, the offeror may identify the provision by paragraph identifier and provide the appropriate information with its quotation or offer. Also, the full text of a solicitation provision may be accessed electronically at this address: http://www.arnet.gov/far/.

FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION (48 CFR CHAPTER 1):

a) Order of Precedence-Uniform Contract Format, FAR Clause 52.215-8, (October 1997).

b) Preaward On-Site Equal Opportunity Compliance Evaluation, (Over $10,000,000), FAR Clause 52.222-24, (February 1999).

b. **TECHNICAL PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS**

A detailed work plan must be submitted indicating how each aspect of the statement of work is to be accomplished. Your technical approach should be in as much detail as you consider necessary to fully explain your proposed technical approach or method. The technical proposal should reflect a clear understanding of the nature of the work being undertaken. The technical proposal must include information on how the project is to be organized, staffed, and managed. Information should be provided which will demonstrate your understanding and management of important events or tasks.

(1) **Technical Discussions**

The technical discussion included in the technical proposal should respond to the items set forth below:

a) **Statement of Work**

(1) **Objectives**

State the overall objectives and the specific accomplishments you hope to achieve. Indicate the rationale for your plan, and relation to comparable work in progress elsewhere. Review pertinent work already published which is relevant to this project and your proposed approach. This should support the scope of the project as you perceive it.

(2) **Approach**

Use as many subparagraphs, appropriately titled, as needed to clearly outline the general plan of work. Discuss phasing of research and, if appropriate, include experimental design and possible or probable outcome of approaches proposed.

(3) **Methods**

Describe in detail the methodologies you will use for the project, indicating your level of experience with each, areas of anticipated difficulties, and any unusual expenses you anticipate.

(4) **Schedule**

Provide a schedule for completion of the work and delivery of items specified in the statement of work. Performance or delivery schedules shall be indicated for phases or segments, as applicable, as well as for the overall program. Schedules shall be shown in terms of calendar months from the date of authorization to proceed or, where applicable, from the date of a stated event, as for example, receipt of a required approval by the Contracting Officer. Unless the request for proposal indicates that the stipulated schedules are mandatory, they shall be treated as desired or recommended schedules. In this event, proposals based upon the offeror's best alternative schedule, involving no overtime, extra shift or other premium, will be accepted for consideration.

b) **Personnel**

Describe the experience and qualifications of personnel who will be assigned for direct work on this program. Information is required which will show the composition of the task or work group, its general qualifications, and recent experience with similar equipment or programs. Special mention shall be made of direct technical supervisors and key technical personnel, and the approximate percentage of the total time each will be available for this program.

OFFERORS SHOULD ASSURE THAT THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR, AND ALL OTHER PERSONNEL PROPOSED, SHALL NOT BE COMMITTED ON FEDERAL GRANTS AND CONTRACTS FOR MORE THAN A TOTAL OF 100% OF THEIR TIME. IF THE SITUATION ARISES WHERE IT IS DETERMINED THAT A PROPOSED EMPLOYEE IS COMMITTED FOR MORE THAN 100% OF HIS OR HER TIME, THE GOVERNMENT WILL REQUIRE ACTION ON THE PART OF THE OFFEROR TO CORRECT THE TIME COMMITMENT.

(1) **Principal Investigator/Project Director**

List the name of the Principal Investigator/Project Director responsible for overall implementation of the contract and key contact for technical aspects of the project. Even though there may be co-investigators, identify the Principal Investigator/Project Director who will be responsible for the overall implementation of any awarded contract. Discuss the qualifications, experience, and accomplishments of the Principal Investigator/Project Director. State the estimated time to be spent on the project, his/her proposed duties, and the areas or phases for which he/she will be responsible.

(2) **Other Investigators**

List all other investigators/professional personnel who will be participating in the project. Discuss the qualifications, experience, and accomplishments. State the estimated time each will spend on the project, proposed duties on the project, and the areas or phases for which each will be responsible.

(3) **Additional Personnel**

List names, titles, and proposed duties of additional personnel, if any, who will be required for full-time employment, or on a subcontract or consultant basis. The technical areas, character, and extent of subcontract or consultant activity will be indicated and the anticipated sources will be specified and qualified. For all proposed personnel who are not currently members of the offeror's staff, a letter of commitment or other evidence of availability is required. A resume does not meet this requirement. Commitment letters for use of consultants and other personnel to be hired must include:

- The specific items or expertise they will provide.
- Their availability to the project and the amount of time anticipated.
- Willingness to act as a consultant.
- How rights to publications and patents will be handled.

(4) **Resumes**

Resumes of all key personnel are required. Each must indicate educational background, recent experience, specific or technical accomplishments, and a listing of relevant publications.

(2) **Technical Evaluation**

Proposals will be technically evaluated in accordance with the factors, weights, and order of relative importance as described in the Technical Evaluation Criteria (SEE SECTION M).

(3) **Additional Technical Proposal Information**

a) Proposals which merely offer to conduct a program in accordance with the requirements of the Government's scope of work will not be eligible for award. The offeror must submit an explanation of the proposed technical approach in conjunction with the tasks to be performed in achieving the project objectives.

b) The technical evaluation is conducted in accordance with the weighted technical evaluation criteria by an initial review panel. This evaluation produces a numerical score (points) which is based upon the information contained in the offeror's proposal only.

(4) **Other Considerations**

Record and discuss specific factors not included elsewhere which support your proposal. Using specifically titled subparagraphs, items may include:

a) Any agreements and/or arrangements with subcontractor(s). Provide as much detail as necessary to explain how the statement of work will be accomplished within this working relationship.

b) Unique arrangements, equipment, etc., which none or very few organizations are likely to have which is advantageous for effective implementation of this project.

c) Equipment and unusual operating procedures established to protect personnel from hazards associated with this project.

d) Other factors you feel are important and support your proposed research.

e) Recommendations for changing reporting requirements if such changes would be more compatible with the offeror's proposed schedules.

c. **BUSINESS PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS**

(1) **Basic Cost/Price Information**

The business proposal must contain sufficient information to allow the Government to perform a basic analysis of the proposed cost or price of the work. This information shall include the amounts of the basic elements of the proposed cost or price. These elements will include, as applicable, direct labor, fringe benefits, travel, materials, subcontracts, purchased parts, shipping, indirect costs and rate, fee, and profit.

(2) **Proposal Cover Sheet**

The following information shall be provided on the first page of your pricing proposal:

1. Solicitation, contract, and/or modification number;
2. Name and address of Offeror;
3. Name and telephone number of point of contact;
4. Name, address, and telephone number of Contract Administration Office, (if available);
5. Name, address, and telephone number of Audit Office (if available);
6. Proposed cost and/or price; profit or fee (as applicable); and total;
7. The following statement: By submitting this proposal, the offeror, if selected for discussions, grants the contracting officer or an authorized representative the right to examine, at any time before award, any of those books, records, documents, or other records directly pertinent to the information requested or submitted.
8. Date of submission; and
9. Name, title and signature of authorized representative.

This cover sheet information is for use by offerors to submit information to the Government when cost or pricing data are not required but information to help establish price reasonableness or cost realism is necessary. Such information is not considered cost or pricing data, and shall not be certified in accordance with FAR 15.406-2.

(3) **Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other than Cost and Pricing Data** [FAR Clause 52.215-20 (October 1997)]

(a) Exceptions from cost or pricing data.

(1) In lieu of submitting cost or pricing data, offerors may submit a written request for exception by submitting the information described in the following subparagraphs. The Contracting Officer may require additional supporting information, but only to the extent necessary to determine whether an exception should be granted, and whether the price is fair and reasonable.

(i) Identification of the law or regulation establishing the price offered. If the price is controlled under law by periodic rulings, reviews, or similar actions of a governmental body, attach a copy of the controlling document, unless it was previously submitted to the contracting office.

(ii) Commercial item exception. For a commercial item exception, the offeror shall submit, at a minimum, information on prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold in the commercial market that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price for this acquisition. Such information may include--

(A) For catalog items, a copy of or identification of the catalog and its date, or the appropriate pages for the offered items, or a statement that the catalog is on file in the buying office to which the proposal is being submitted. Provide a copy or describe current discount policies and price lists (published or unpublished), e.g., wholesale, original equipment manufacturer, or reseller. Also explain the basis of each offered price and its relationship to the established catalog price, including how the proposed price relates to the price of recent sales in quantities similar to the proposed quantities;

(B) For market-priced items, the source and date or period of the market quotation or other basis for market price, the base amount, and applicable discounts. In addition, describe the nature of the market;

(C) For items included on an active Federal Supply Service Multiple Award Schedule contract, proof that an exception has been granted for the schedule item.

(2) The offeror grants the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative the right to examine, at any time before award, books, records, documents, or other directly pertinent records to verify any request for an exception under this provision, and the reasonableness of price. For items priced using catalog or market prices, or law or regulation, access does not extend to cost or profit information or other data relevant solely to the offeror's determination of the prices to be offered in the catalog or marketplace.

(b) Requirements for cost or pricing data. If the offeror is not granted an exception from the requirement to submit cost or pricing data, the following applies:

(1) The offeror shall prepare and submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments in accordance with Table 15-2 of FAR 15.408.

(2) As soon as practicable after agreement on price, but before contract award (except for unpriced actions such as letter contracts), the offeror shall submit a Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data, as prescribed by FAR 15.406-2.

(End of provision)

Alternate I (October 1997). As prescribed in 15.408(l), substitute the following paragraph (b)(1) for paragraph (b)(1) of the basic provision:

(b) (1) The offeror shall submit cost or pricing data and supporting attachments in the following format:

The format specified in paragraph L.2.c.(4) Cost and Pricing Data, subparagraph 3. Formats for Submission of Line Item Summaries shall be used for the submission cost information. Submission of all other cost or pricing data shall be in accordance with Table 15-2 in FAR 15.408.

(4) **Qualifications of the Offeror**

You are requested to submit a summary of your "General Experience, Organizational Experience Related to this RFP, Performance History and Pertinent Contracts."

a) **General Experience**

General experience is defined as general background, experience and qualifications of the offeror. A discussion of proposed facilities which can be devoted to the project may be appropriate.

b) **Organizational Experience Related to the RFP**

Organizational experience is defined as the accomplishment of work, either past or on-going, which is comparable or related to the effort required by this RFP. This includes overall offeror or corporate experience, **but not** the experience and/or past performance of individuals who are proposed as personnel involved with the Statement of Work in this RFP.

c) **Performance History**

Performance history is defined as meeting contract objectives within **delivery** and **cost schedules** on efforts, either past or on-going, which is comparable or related to the effort required by this RFP.

d) **Pertinent Contracts**

Pertinent contracts is defined as a listing of each related contract completed within the last three years or currently in process. The listing should include: 1) the contract number; 2) contracting agency; 3) contract dollar value; 4) dates contract began and ended (or ends); 5) description of contract work; 6) explanation of

relevance of work to this RFP; 7) actual delivery and cost performance versus delivery and cost agreed to in the contract(s). For award fee contracts, separately state in dollars the base fee and award fee available and the award fee actually received. The same type of organizational experience and past performance data should be submitted.

e) **Pertinent Grants**

List grants supported by the Government that involved similar or related work to that called for in this RFP. Include the grant number, involved agency, names of the grant specialist and the Science Administrator, identification of the work, and when performed.

You are cautioned that omission or an inadequate or inaccurate response to this very important RFP requirement could have a negative effect on the overall selection process. Experience and past performance are factors which are relevant to the ability of the offerors to perform and are considered in the source selection process.

(5) **Other Administrative Data**

a) **Property**

(1) It is DHHS policy that Contractors will provide all equipment and facilities necessary for performance of contracts. Exception may be granted to furnish Government-owned property, or to authorize purchase with contract funds, only when approved by the Contracting Officer. If the offeror is proposing that the Government provide any equipment, other than that specified under Government Furnished Property in the RFP, the proposal must include comprehensive justification which includes:

(a) An explanation that the item is for a special use essential to the direct performance of the contract and the item will be used exclusively for the purpose. Office equipment such as desks, office machines, etc., will not be provided under a contract except under very exceptional circumstances.

(b) No practical or economical alternative exists (e.g., rental, capital investment) that can be used to perform the work.

(2) The offeror shall identify Government-owned property in its possession and/or Contractor titled property acquired from Federal funds, which it proposes to use in the performance of the prospective contract.

(3) The management and control of any Government property shall be in accordance with DHHS Publication (OS) 686 entitled, "Contractors Guide for Control of Government Property (1990)," a copy of which will be provided upon request.

b) **Submission of Electronic Funds Transfer Information with Offer, FAR Clause 52.232-38** (MAY 1999)

The offeror shall provide, with its offer, the following information that is required to make payment by electronic funds transfer (EFT) under any contract that results from this solicitation. This submission satisfies the requirement to provide EFT information under paragraphs (b)(1) and (j) of the clause at 52.232-34, Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer--Other than Central Contractor Registration.

(1) The solicitation number (or other procurement identification number).
(2) The offeror's name and remittance address, as stated in the offer.
(3) The signature (manual or electronic, as appropriate), title, and telephone number of the offeror's official authorized to provide this information.
(4) The name, address, and 9-digit Routing Transit Number of the offeror's financial agent.
(5) The offeror's account number and the type of account (checking, savings, or lockbox).
(6) If applicable, the Fedwire Transfer System telegraphic abbreviation of the offeror's financial agent.
(7) If applicable, the offeror shall also provide the name, address, telegraphic abbreviation, and 9-digit Routing Transit Number of the correspondent financial institution receiving the wire transfer payment if the offeror's financial agent is not directly on-line to the Fedwire and, therefore, not the receiver of the wire transfer payment.

c) **Financial Capacity**

The offeror shall indicate if it has the necessary financial capacity, working capital, and other resources to perform the contract without assistance from any outside source. If not, indicate the amount required and the anticipated source.

d) **Incremental Funding**

An incrementally funded cost-reimbursement contract is a contract in which the total work effort is to be performed over a multiple year period and funds are allotted, as they become available, to cover discernible phases or increments of performance. The incremental funding technique allows for contracts to be awarded for periods in excess of one year even though the total estimated amount of funds expected to be obligated for the contract are not available at the time of the contract award. If this requirement is specified elsewhere in this RFP, the offeror shall submit a cost proposal for each year. In addition, the following provisions are applicable:

HHSAR 352.232-75, Incremental Funding (January 2001)

(a) It is the Government's intention to negotiate and award a contract using the incremental funding concepts described in the clause entitled Limitation of Funds. Under the clause, which will be included in the resultant contract, initial funds will be obligated under the contract to cover the first year of performance. Additional funds are intended to be allotted to the contract by contract modification, up to and including the full estimated cost of the contract, to accomplish the entire project. While it is the Government's intention to progressively fund this contract over the entire period of performance up to and including the full estimated cost, the Government will not be obligated to reimburse the Contractor for costs incurred in excess of the periodic allotments, nor will the Contractor be obligated to perform in excess of the amount allotted.

(b) The Limitation of Funds clause to be included in the resultant contract shall supersede the Limitation of Cost clause found in the General Provisions.

(End of provision)

(6) **Subcontractors**

If subcontractors are proposed, please include a commitment letter from the subcontractor detailing:

a) Willingness to perform as a subcontractor for specific duties (list duties).

b) What priority the work will be given and how it will relate to other work.

c) The amount of time and facilities available to this project.

d) Information on their cognizant field audit offices.

e) How rights to publications and patents are to be handled.

f) A complete cost proposal in the same format as the offeror's cost proposal.

Note: Organizations that plan to enter into a subcontract with an educational concern under a contract awarded under this RFP should refer to the following Web Site for a listing of clauses that are required to be incorporated in Research & Development (R&D) subcontracts with educational institutions:

http://ocm.od.nih.gov/contracts/rfps/FDP/PDPclausecover.htm

(7) **Proposer's Annual Financial Report**

All offerors included in the competitive range will be required to submit a copy of the organization's most recent annual financial report.

A copy of the organization's most recent annual report must be submitted as part of the business proposal.

(8) **Representations and Certifications**

One copy of the Representations and Certifications attached as Section K shall be completed and be signed by an official authorized to bind your organization. Additionally, a completed copy of the Representations and Certifications shall be submitted from any proposed subcontractor.

(9) **Travel Costs/Travel Policy**

a) **Travel Policy**

One copy of the offeror's (and any proposed subcontractor's) written travel policy shall be included in the business proposal (original only). If an offeror (or any proposed subcontractor) does not have a written travel policy, the offeror shall so state.

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. GENERAL

The major evaluation factors for this solicitation include technical (which encompasses experience and past performance factors) cost/price factors, past performance, and Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) Participation. Although technical factors are of paramount consideration in the award of the contract, cost/price, past performance, and SDB participation is also important to the overall contract award decision. All evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are significantly more important than cost or price. In any case, the Government reserves the right to make an award(s) to that offeror whose proposal provides the best overall value to the Government.

The technical proposals will receive paramount consideration in the selection of the Contractor for this acquisition. The evaluation will be based on the demonstrated capabilities of the prospective contractors in relation to the needs of the project as set forth in the RFP. The merit of each proposal will be evaluated carefully, based on responsiveness to the RFP and thoroughness and feasibility of the technical approach taken. Offerors must submit information sufficient to evaluate their proposals based on the detailed criteria listed below. Failure to provide the information required to evaluate the proposal may result in rejection of that proposal without further consideration. If an Offeror has submitted proposals for Parts A and B, each will be reviewed and evaluated as a separate, individual proposal.

For the purposes of review, Part A and Part B must be able to stand-alone and will be separately reviewed against their respective evaluation criteria. A separate Competitive Range will be established for each Part. If an offeror responds to both Part A and B only those parts placed in the competitive range will enter the negotiation phase.

2. HUMAN SUBJECT EVALUATION

This research project involves obtaining human subject specimens from Phase I/II clinical trials. The offeror(s) are responsible for assuring that the acquisition and supply of human specimen materials were obtained in accordance with NIH Policy. NIH Policy requires:

(a) Protection of Human Subjects from Research Risks

The offeror's proposal must address the involvement of human subjects and protections from research risk relating to their participation, or provide sufficient information on the research subjects to allow a determination by NCI that a designated exemption is appropriate.

If you claim that this research should be considered exempt from coverage by the Federal Regulations at 45 CFR 46, the proposal should address why you believe it is exempt, and under which exemption it applies.

The reviewers will evaluate the proposal and provide a narrative with regard to four issues: Risks to Human Subjects, Adequacy of Protection Against Risks, Potential Benefits of the Proposed Research to the Subjects and Others, and Importance of the Knowledge to be Gained. See Section L for a complete discussion of what is required to be addressed for each of these issues. Based on the response to this criterion, this section of the proposal may be rated "unacceptable" (i.e., concerns are identified as to the protections described against risk to human subjects or no discussion is found regarding protections against risk to human subjects) or "acceptable".

If your discussion regarding the protection of human subjects from research risks is rated "unacceptable" and the Government includes your proposal in the competitive range (for competitive proposals), or if the Government holds discussions with the selected source (for sole source acquisitions), you will be afforded the opportunity to further discuss and/or clarify your position during such discussions and in your Final Proposal Revision (FPR). If, after discussions, your proposed plan for the protection of human subjects from research risks is still found unacceptable, your proposal may not be considered further for award.

(b) **Data and Safety Monitoring**

The offeror's proposal must include a general description of the Data and Safety Monitoring Plan for all clinical trials. The principles of data and safety monitoring require that all biomedical and behavioral clinical trails be monitored to ensure the safe and effective conduct of human subjects research, and to recommend conclusion of the trial when significant benefits or risks are identified or if it is unlikely that the trial can be concluded successfully. Risks associated with participation in research must be minimized to the extent practical and the method and degree of monitoring should be commensurate with risk. Additionally, all plans must include procedures for adverse event reporting. Finally, generally, for Phase III clinical trials, the establishment of a Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) is required, whereas for Phase I and II clinical trials, the establishment of a DSMB is optional. The reviewers should refer to the Statement of Work and Section L in the solicitation, as well as any further technical evaluation criteria in this Section M, as applicable, for the solicitations specific requirements for data and safety monitoring.

As a part of the evaluation for proposals, the reviewers will provide a narrative that describes the acceptability of the proposed data and safety monitoring plan with respect to the potential risks to human participants, complexity of study design, and methods for data analysis. Based on the evaluation of the response to this criterion, this section of the proposal may be rated "unacceptable" (i.e., concerns are identified as to the adequacy of the monitoring plan or no discussion can be found regarding the proposed monitoring plans) or "acceptable."

If the information provided regarding Data and Safety Monitoring is rated "unacceptable" and the Government includes your proposal in the competitive range (for competitive proposals), or if the Government holds discussions with the selected source (for sole source acquisitions), you will be afforded the opportunity to further discuss and/or clarify your plan during such discussions and in your Final Proposal Revision (FPR). If, after discussions, the plan is still considered "unacceptable," your proposal may not be considered further for award.

(c) **Women and Minorities**

Women and members of minority groups and their subpopulations must be included in the study population of research involving human subjects, unless a clear and compelling rationale and justification are provided indicating that inclusion is inappropriate with respect to the health of the subjects or the purpose of the research. In addition, for NIH-Defined Phase III clinical trials, all proposals and/or protocols must provide a description of plans to conduct analyses, as appropriate, to detect significant differences in intervention effect (see NIH Guide http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/women_min/guidelines_amended_10_2001.htm, Definitions - Significant Difference) by sex/gender, racial/ethnic groups, and relevant subpopulations, if applicable, unless the Government has specified that this solicitation involves a sex/gender specific study or a single or limited number of minority population groups. The proposal also must include one of the following plans:

- Plans to conduct valid analysis to detect significant differences in intervention effect among sex/gender and/or racial/ethnic subgroups when prior studies strongly support these significant differences among subgroups, OR

- Plans to include and analyze sex/gender and/or racial/ethnic subgroups when prior studies strongly support no significant differences in intervention effect between subgroups (representation of sex/gender and/or racial/ethnic groups as subject selection criterion is not required; however, inclusion and analyses are encouraged), OR

- Plans to conduct valid analyses of the intervention effect in sex/gender and/or racial/ethnic subgroups (without requiring high statistical power for each subgroup) when the prior studies neither support nor negate significant differences in intervention effect between subgroups.

Also, the proposal must address the proposed outreach programs for recruiting women and minorities as participants.

Reviewers will address the areas covered here and in Section L of the solicitation in narrative form in their evaluation. Some of the issues they will evaluate include:

- whether the plan proposed includes minorities and both genders in adequate representation
- how the offeror addresses the inclusion of women and members of minority groups and their subpopulations in the development of a proposal that is appropriate to the scientific objectives of the solicitation
- the description of the proposed study populations in terms of sex/gender and racial/ethnic groups and the rationale for selection of such subjects
- if exclusion is proposed, that the rationale is appropriate with respect to the health of the subjects and/or to the purpose of the research.
- In addition, for gender exclusion, the reviewers will examine the rationale to determine if it is because:
  - the purpose of the research constrains the offeror's selection of study participants by gender (e.g., uniquely valuable stored specimens or existing datasets are single gender; very small numbers of subjects are involved; or
  - overriding factors dictate selection of subjects); or
  - gender representation of specimens or existing datasets cannot be accurately determined, and this does not compromise the scientific objectives of the research.
- For minority group exclusion, the reviewers will examine the rationale to determine if those minority groups are excluded because:
  - inclusion of those groups would be inappropriate with respect to their health,;or
  - inclusion of those groups would be inappropriate with respect to the purpose of the research.
- For NIH-defined Phase III clinical trials, reviewers will also address whether there is an adequate description of plans to conduct analyses to detect significant differences of clinical or public health importance in intervention effect(s) by sex/gender and/or racial ethnic subgroups when the intervention effect(s) is expected in the primary analyses, or if there is an adequate description of plans to conduct valid analyses of the intervention effect in subgroups when the intervention effect(s) is not expected in the primary analyses.

If you determine that inclusion of women and minority populations is not feasible, you must submit a detailed rationale and justification for exclusion of one or both groups from the study population with the technical proposal. The Government will review the rationale to determine if it is appropriate with respect to the health of the subjects and/or the purpose of the research

Based on the evaluation of the response to this criterion, this section of the proposal may be rated "unacceptable" (i.e., no discussion can be found regarding the proposed gender/minority inclusion plans, or concerns are identified as to the gender or minority representation, or the proposal does not adequately address limited representation of one gender or minority; or the plan is not in accordance with NIH policy guidelines) or "acceptable." See Section L of the solicitation for the requirements of women/minorities inclusion.

If the information you provide in your proposal regarding the inclusion of women and minorities is rated "unacceptable" and the Government includes your proposal in the competitive range (for competitive proposals), or if the Government holds discussions with the selected source (for sole source acquisitions), you will be afforded the opportunity to further discuss, clarify, or modify your plan during discussions and in your Final Proposal Revision (FPR). If your plan for inclusion/exclusion of women/minorities is still considered "unacceptable" by the Government after discussions, your proposal may not be considered further for award.

(d) **Children**

Children (i.e. individuals under the age of 21) must be included in all human subject research unless there are clear and compelling reasons not to include them.
Your proposal must include a description of plans for including children. If you plan to exclude children from the required research, your proposal must present an acceptable justification for the exclusion. If you determine that exclusion of a specific age range of child is appropriate, your proposal must also address the rationale for such exclusion. Also, the plan must include a description of the expertise of the investigative team for dealing with children at the ages included, of the appropriateness of the available facilities to accommodate the children, and the inclusion of a sufficient number of children to contribute to a meaningful analysis relative to the purpose/objective of the solicitation. Also, see Section L of the solicitation for further specific requirements on inclusion of children.

Based on the reviewers' narrative evaluation of the offeror's response to this evaluation criterion, this section of the proposal may be rated "unacceptable" (i.e., no discussion can be found regarding the proposed inclusion plans for children; or concerns are identified as to the offeror's response regarding the inclusion of children; or the plan is not in accordance wit h NIH policy guidelines) or "acceptable."

If the information provided in your proposal about the inclusion of children is rated "unacceptable" and the Government includes your proposal in the competitive range (for competitive proposals), or if the Government holds discussions with the selected source (for sole source acquisitions), you will be afforded the opportunity to further discuss, clarify or modify your plan during discussions and in your Final Proposal Revision (FPR). If your plan for inclusion of children is still considered "unacceptable" by the Government after discussions, your proposal may not be considered further for award.

3. **PAST PERFORMANCE FACTOR**

An evaluation of offeror's past performance information will be conducted subsequent to the technical evaluation. However, this evaluation will not be conducted on any offeror whose proposal would not be selected for award based on the results of the evaluation of factors other than past performance.

The evaluation will be based on information obtained from references provided by the offeror, other relevant past performance information obtained from other sources known to the Government, and any information supplied by the offeror concerning problems encountered on the identified contracts and corrective action taken.

The government will assess the relative risks associated with each offeror. Performance risks are those associated with an offeror's likelihood of success in performing the acquisition requirements as indicated by that offeror's record of past performance.

The assessment of performance risk is not intended to be a product of a mechnaical or mathematical analysis of an offeror's performance on a list of contracts but rather the product of subjective judgement by the Government after it considers relevant information.

When assessing performance risks, the Government will focus on the past performance of the offeror as it relates to all acquisition requirements, such as the offeror's record of performing according to specifications, including standards of good workmanship; the offeror's record of controlling and forecasting costs; the offeror's adherence to contract schedules, including the administrative aspects of performance; the offeror's reputation for reasonable and cooperative behavior and commitment to customer satisfaction; and generally, the offeror's business-like concern for the interest of the customer.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the offeror's performance.

The lack of a relevant performance record may result in an unknown performance risk assessment, which will neither be used to the advantage nor disadvantage of the offeror.

Listed below are past performance subfactors to be used for evaluation purposes.

Past Performance Subfactors

Record of conforming to specifications and to standards of good workmanship.
Record of forecasting and controlling costs under cost-reimbursemment contracts.
Adherence to contract schedules, including the administrative aspects of performance.
Reputation for reasonable and cooperative behavior and commitment to customer satisfaction.
Business-like concern for the interest of the Customer.

4. **EXTENT OF SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS PARTICIPATION**

SDB participation will not be scored, but the Government's conclusions about overall commitment and realism of the offeror's SDB Participation targets will be used in determining the relative merits of the offeror's proposal and in selecting the offeror whose proposal is considered to offer the best value to the Government.

The extent of the offeror's Small Disadvantaged Business Participation Targets will be evaluated before determination of the competitive range. Evaluation of SDB participation will be assessed based on consideration of the information presented in the offeror's proposal. The Government is seeking to determine whether the offeror has demonstrated a commitment to use SDB concerns for the work that it intends to perform.

Offers will be evaluated on the following sub-factors:

(a) Extent of commitment to use SDB concerns
(b) Complexity and variety of the work SDB concerns are to perform
(c) Extent of participation of SDB concerns in terms of the value of the total acquisition.

5. TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA -- Parts A and B

The evaluation criteria are used by the technical evaluation committee when reviewing the technical proposals. The criteria below are listed in the order of relative importance with weights assigned for evaluation purposes.

PART A:

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO PART A OF THIS RFP WILL BE EVALUATED BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WHICH ARE LISTED AND WEIGHTED IN ORDER OF THEIR RELATIVE IMPORTANCE. PROPOSALS WILL BE JUDGED SOLELY ON THE WRITTEN MATERIAL PROVIDED BY THE OFFEROR.

CRITERA **WEIGHT**

A.1. TECHNICAL APPROACH (60 Points)

Technical adequacy and feasibility of methods and approaches for conducting a Reference Laboratory for studies of respiratory pathogens as described in Part A of the statement of work.

A. 1.a. Documented Experience with Assays (30 Points)

Documented experience with the following assays: antibody avidity, antibody isotype and subclass analyses, antibody binding (eg., ELISA), antibody function (e.g., opsonophagocytosis). measurement of a cell mediated immune response, and measurement of an innate immune response. Standard Operating Procedure for each assay that describes the sensitivity and specificity of the methodology.

A.1.b. Documented Experience with Reagent Development (15 Points)

Documented experience in reagent development or concept sheet describing an approach to reagent development Examples must include, but not be limited to, antigens for ELISA assays, standard reference sera, quality control samples, and monoclonal antibodies.

A.1.c. Assay Validation (15 Points)

Documented approach for validation of an assay at a single site (intra-laboratory analysis) and at multiple sites (inter-laboratory analysis).

A.2. PERSONNEL (20 Points)

Documented adequacy and appropriateness of the related experience, education, and training of the Principal Investigator and proposed staff in performing the requirements of the Part A Statement of Work.

The PI should have an M.D. and/or Ph.D. degree, or equivalent, and should be experienced in the development, maintenance and distribution of reference reagents and immunological assays as well as the handling, testing and storage of clinical specimens. The team of professional personnel should have composite expertise in infectious diseases, microbiology (bacteriology or virology as related to Parts A and B, respectively), molecular biology, immunology, assay development, reagent production, and biostatistics. Technical personnel should be trained and experienced in performing assay and laboratory procedures. The support staff should possess the requisite experience to perform their clerical and administrative duties.

A.3. ORGANIZATIONAL AND MANAGEMENT APPROACH (20 Points)

Feasibility and appropriateness of plans to coordinate the various scientific, administrative, and logistical aspects of overseeing and managing a Reference Laboratory; plans for the efficient and timely transfer of specimens, biologicals, and other materials from the existing contractor, if necessary, and plans for transfer of same at the completion of this contract.

TOTAL PART A (100 Points)

PART B

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO PART B OF THIS RFP WILL BE EVALUATED BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WHICH ARE LISTED AND WEIGHTED IN ORDER OF THEIR RELATIVE IMPORTANCE. PROPOSALS WILL BE JUDGED SOLELY ON THE WRITTEN MATERIAL PROVIDED BY THE OFFEROR.

CRITERA	WEIGHT

B.1. TECHNICAL APPROACH **(60 Points)**

Technical adequacy and feasibility of methods and approaches for conducting a Reference Laboratory for studies of respiratory pathogens as described in Part B of the work statement.

B.1.a. Documented Experience with Assays **(30 Points)**

Documented experience with the following assays: hemagglutination inhibition for proposals related to influenza, antibody isotype and subclass analyses, antibody binding (eg., ELISA), antibody function (e.g.,microneutralization). measurement of a cell mediated immune response, and measurement of an innate immune response. Standard Operating Procedure for each assay that describes the sensitivity and specificity of the methodology.

B.1.b. Documented Experience with Reagent Development **(15 Points)**

Documented experience in reagent development or concept sheet describing an approach to reagent development. Examples must include, but not be limited to, antigens for ELISA assays, standard reference sera, quality control samples, monoclonal antibodies, characterized cell lines for production of viral vaccines and/or human challenge material.

B.1.c. Assay Validation **(15 Points)**

Approach for validation of an assay at a single site (intra-laboratory analysis) and at multiple sites (inter-laboratory analysis).

B.2. PERSONNEL **(20 Points)**

Adequacy and appropriateness of the experience, education, and training of the Principal Investigator and proposed staff in performing the requirements of the Part B Statement of Work.

The PI should have an M.D. and/or Ph.D. degree, or equivalent, and should be experienced in the development, maintenance and distribution of reference reagents and immunological assays as well as the handling, testing and storage of clinical specimens. The team of professional personnel should have composite expertise in infectious diseases, microbiology (bacteriology or virology as related to Parts A and B, respectively), molecular biology, immunology, assay development, reagent production, and biostatistics. Technical personnel should be trained and experienced in performing assay and laboratory procedures. The support staff should possess the requisite experience to perform their clerical and administrative duties.

B.3. ORGANIZATIONAL AND MANAGEMENT APPROACH (20 Points)

Feasibility and appropriateness of plans to coordinate the various scientific, administrative, and logistical aspects of overseeing and managing a Reference Laboratory, plans for the efficient and timely transfer of specimens, biologicals, and other materials from the existing contractor, if necessary, and plans for transfer of same at the completion of this contract.

TOTAL PART B (100 Points)